

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_119643
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF SCHOOL FEEDING PROGRAMME ON PUPILS' ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE IN PUBLIC PRIMARY SCHOOLS IN MWATATE SUB- COUNTY, TAITA TAVETA COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, manuscripts are significant to the scientific community.</p> <p>The article's title is good (21 words).</p> <p>Abstract is good (408 words). The maximum number of abstract words is 250 words, concise abstract consisting of research objectives, methodology, research results, conclusions and implications of research results. It is recommended that the abstract be shortened to 250 words. Keywords are good (2 words). Keywords consist of 3-5 words that are most important in the research. It is recommended that important words be added.</p> <p>The article's structure is reasonable.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References are good, but discucscion recommended to add 1-2 references for each sub. Sufficient and up-to-date references and recommended that reference citations use Mendeley IEEE or APA and a maximum of 20 years of reference.</p> <p>It is suggested to add references, as an example:</p> <p>Tarimo, P., & Lekule, C. (2024). Effect of Instructional Supervision on Education Quality in Secondary Schools in Kaham District, Tanzania. <i>East African Journal of Education Studies</i>, 7(1), 216-230.</p> <p>Chola, R. M., Kiplagat, H., & Mubichakani, J. (2023). Influence of trainees' personalityon Course Choice inVocational Training Centres inTaitaTaveta County, Kenya.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the article's English is suitable for scientific communication, but it is recommended to use grammarly.com</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper is Accepted but needs minor Revision.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endang Noerhartati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya, Indonesia