

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJEBA_119510   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Are Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises The Pillar Behind India's Economic Development: A Critical Analysis |
| Type of the Article      |   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>Ofcourse, It's very important. But some of data needs back up of information link.</p> <p>Yes, It is.</p> <p>Not comprehensive enough. The abstract contains the background, methodology and results obtained by the research (X1, X2, X3 to Y).<br/>OK.</p> <p>Right, but need some revisions.</p> <p>That's right, but you need additional references related to journal articles that support your research.</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>It's enough.</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  |  |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                      |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Name:                            | Henky Hendrawan      |
| Department, University & Country | STIE GICI, Indonesia |