

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_119510
Title of the Manuscript:	Are Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises The Pillar Behind India's Economic Development: A Critical Analysis
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript addresses a significant topic, highlighting the crucial role of MSMEs in India's economic development. Given the growing emphasis on MSMEs globally, this study is relevant and timely. The analysis provides valuable insights into how MSMEs contribute to economic indicators such as GDP, employment, and exports.</p> <p>2. The title is appropriate but could be slightly revised for clarity. Suggested title: "The Role of Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises in India's Economic Development: A Critical Analysis".</p> <p>3. The abstract needs to be more comprehensive, explicitly stating the research objectives, methodology, key findings, and implications. This will help readers quickly grasp the study's scope and significance.</p> <p>4. The structure is logical, but the literature review should be more systematically organized. Group similar studies together and clearly distinguish between different themes.</p> <p>5. The statistical analyses are appropriate, but the methodology section should provide more detail on the techniques used. Explain the assumptions, limitations, and how these were addressed to ensure the robustness of the findings.</p> <p>6. The references are generally sufficient but include more recent studies, especially government reports and data from the last five years, to provide the most current context.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language is generally clear, but there are some grammatical errors and awkward phrasings. A thorough proofreading is recommended to enhance readability and ensure scholarly quality.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript provides a valuable analysis of the role of MSMEs in India's economic development. With minor revisions, including a more detailed methodology, comprehensive abstract, and updated references, the manuscript will significantly contribute to the field. The study's findings have important implications for policymakers and researchers focused on economic development and the support of MSMEs. For more additional suggestions, namely:</p> <p>1. Methodology Detail: Enhance the methodology section with more detailed descriptions of the statistical techniques used. Explain the data sources, sample size, and any potential biases.</p> <p>2. Discussion of Findings: Expand the discussion section to include more interpretation of the findings. Discuss the implications of the strong positive correlation between MSME assistance and employment generation. How does this finding align with previous research?</p> <p>3. Policy Implications: Include a section on policy implications. How can the findings inform government policies aimed at supporting MSMEs? What specific recommendations can be drawn from the study?</p> <p>4. Future Research: Suggest areas for future research. Are there any gaps in the current study that future researchers could address? How can the research be extended to other contexts or regions?</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Haryono Pasang Kamase
Department, University & Country	Universitas Tadulako Palu, Indonesia