

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_119398
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of Cash Management on Financial Performance of Selected Savings and Credit Cooperative Societies in Meru County, Kenya.</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebe.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript contributes to body of knowledge in the study area</p> <p>2. The title of the study is appropriate though the word “practices” should have been included to read: <b>Influence of Cash Management Practices in Financial Performance of selected Savings and Credit Cooperative Societies in Meru County, Kenya.</b></p> <p>3. The abstract should be beefed up to include a sentence on sample size, population and findings and conclusion.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript is ok though sections on problem statement and objectives should be included.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are up to date</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Specify actual sample size and population in the abstract</p> <p>2. Give a statement of your overall findings on whether cash management has an influence on Sacco performance or not.</p> <p>3. Under materials and methods indicate the tool used to collect data.</p> <p>4. A section on problem statement and objectives of the study should be included.</p> <p>5. The conclusion is not in line with the study objectives/title. You are required to directly relate cash management and financial performance</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Francis Mukatia Asakania
Department, University & Country	Garissa University, Kenya