

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_119320
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Impact of Socio-Demographic Variables on Maternal Healthcare Accessibility among Women of Reproductive Age in Zamfara State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Maternal health care access is an important topic especially given the high rates of aternal death in developing countries</p> <p>The title is good</p> <p>The abstract is a little long. A few sentences that are purely commentary can be eliminated.</p> <p>Perhaps the introduction could be shorter IF its just the introduction and not part of literature review. Otherwise, these 2 sections should be combined as they appear repetitive to the reader.</p> <p>I would like to see more inferential statistics run on the data rather than just the descriptives presented. Is there an actual significant difference in access based on employment status? Occupation? Percents are not sufficient to determine if this is truly a problem. Run an ANOVA or dummy code some t-tests to determine actual differences.</p> <p>References appear fine</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes. Only a few minor errors which could just be typos</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carrie Carmody
Department, University & Country	California State University, United States