

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_118624
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Unmanned Aerial Vehicle on pipeline surveillance in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Not at all suitable for the scientific community.</p> <p>No</p> <p>No it's not comprehensive and not suitable.</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>No some more references need to be written. manuscript . refer it .</p> <p>There is no Scientific and technical content of UAVs and no diagrams are illustrated.</p> <p>There is no Algorithm, to define the problem statement and no use of practical output data.</p>	<p>For the author the comments are highlighted in the</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Moderate Lot of improvement is required in the english technical writing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper is not at all suitable for publication. The quality of the paper is very poor. The technical content is highly missing.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Akhtar Khan
Department, University & Country	GITAM Deemed to be University, India