

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_119000
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of maize (Zea mays L.) to seed priming with zinc and boron on nutrient content and their uptake
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes it is important but this manuscript needs revision</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Not exactly</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No there must be recent references in the discussion part since the author has interpreted the result only on the basis of finding of 1 scientist work.</p> <p>Apart from this there is need to rephrase the conclusion section so that the author could illustrate the significance of finding and how it can be utilised by other researchers in future</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Average quality</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Most of the sentences structures are similar in result section . there is grammatical mistake and no sequence rhythm is maintained which makes the presentation of paper effective</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shreya Sen
Department, University & Country	Bihar Agricultural University, India