

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Annual Research & Review in Biology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARRB_118589 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING OF ARTOCARPUS ODORATISSIMUS (MARANG) SEED EXTRACT AND ITS ANTIMICROBIAL POTENTIAL AGAINST SELECTED SOIL BACTERIA |
| Type of the Article | Short Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. Yes. Some members of Artocarpus have been used medicinally to treat various diseases. Different compounds isolated from some species of Artocarpus have been shown to exhibit interesting biological properties, including antimicrobial potential against soil bacteria.</p> <p>2. Instead of "selected bacteria", I suggest including the scientific name of the bacteria.</p> <p>3. It is comprehensive. Please include the hypothesis of the study.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes. However, the authors should cite more relevant and recent references. Results from the study should be debated and compared to pivotal studies.</p> <p>6. Please include more recent references.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>1. Yes. The written English should be more formal and scientific</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Débora Brito Goulart |
| Department, University & Country | National Animal Disease Center, United States |