

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_119444
Title of the Manuscript:	WORKSTATION COLLABORATION AND CLASSROOM CULPABILITY OF TEACHERS IN PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The subject of the manuscript is important as it amplifies the necessity of teachers' discretionary behaviours in achieving educational aims through collaborative efforts among educators.</p> <p>2. The title is faulty. Firstly, there is no variable termed "Classroom Culpability." From readings of the manuscript and its accompanying references, the authors clearly refer to "Organizational Citizenship Behavior" and not classroom culpability. Secondly, "workplace" is a more universally used term than "workstation." Therefore, the title should read as follows: "Workplace Collaboration and Organizational Citizenship Behaviour of Teachers in Public Elementary Schools."</p> <p>3. The abstract is succinct and comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is okay. However, the literature review section where I expect the authors to argue out the extant knowledge on the relationship between workplace collaboration and organizational citizenship behaviour is missing. It is important this section is provided.</p> <p>5. The manuscript has met the minimum criteria of a scientific work.</p> <p>6. The references are not sufficient. This is as a result of the missing Literature Review section.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The authors have effectively communicated the essence of the research using language appropriate for the field of education.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bala Salisu
Department, University & Country	School of Management Studies, Federal Polytechnic Damaturu, Nigeria