

**ReviewForm 1.7**

JournalName:	<a href="#">AsianJournalofEducationandSocialStudies</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJESS_119225
TitleoftheManuscript:	THE ROLE OF HEAD TEACHERS ADMINISTRATIVE EXPERIENCE ON INSTRUCTION SUPERVISION IN KWALE COUNTY, KENYA
TypeoftheArticle	

**ReviewForm 1.7**

**PART 1: ReviewComments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>1. It is important to know how head teachers affect the instructional supervision quality</b></p> <p><b>2. The title should be: <u>HEADTEACHERS' OPINIONS ON THE INFLUENCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPERIENCE ON INSTRUCTIONAL SUPERVISION IN KWALE DISTRICT, KENYA</u></b></p> <p><b>3. The abstract should include research findings or results.</b></p> <p><b>4. Appropriate</b></p> <p><b>5. Yes, if the title is changed as number 2 above.</b></p> <p><b>6. Sufficient.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>1. This research is quantitative research, because numerical data is analyzed with statistics.</b></p> <p><b>2. In the article the author wrote "The level was categorized as follows; 0-5 years was considered to have less administrative experience, 6-10 years was considered to be medium level and 11 years and above was considered to be high level of experience in administration."</b></p> <p><b>Please explain the basis for this categorization.</b></p> <p><b>Please indicate the highest administrative experience of the principals in the study population.</b></p> <p><b>3. It is better to examine the quality of instructional supervision, related to head teachers experience. This can be suggested to future researchers.</b></p>	

**ReviewForm 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jafriansen Damanik</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Indraprasta PGRI, Indonesia</b>