

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_119611
Title of the Manuscript:	A Clinical Trail of Silver Diamine Fluoride application on children's primary teeth to prevent dental caries progression among preschool children, Vientiane: A pilot study
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript evaluates children's satisfaction after implementing SDF. It can serve as a reference in providing information on medication use and prevention of caries development at three months after treatment. 2. The title already describes the content of the research conducted. So that it can easily understand the scope of writing the article 3. The abstract has clearly described the content of the article 4. The writing structure is appropriate, but the introduction can be made into several paragraphs and written from the general to the section. 5. In general, the writing of the article is in accordance with the research, but the discussion section needs to be explained more clearly related to the results of the research supported by previous studies. 6. There are still references that exceed 10 years 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The language used is in accordance with scientific language and easy to understand. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bedjo Santoso
Department, University & Country	Indonesia