

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_119566
Title of the Manuscript:	A Rare Case Report of Intrabdominal fibrosarcoma in a German Shepherd Dog
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This is a work carried out on human pets. It is therefore an interesting subject for the scientific community</p> <p>2. The title is badly worded and really does not indicate what the candidate wants to do. Is it a simple diagnostic result or a treatment for a known disease in dogs?</p> <p>3. The conception of the summary is good and it should be remembered here that the author only wants to present the result of a diagnosis as an objective</p> <p>4. Classical structuring introduction; Material and method, results and discussion Bibliographical references are not respect. Introduction Classical structuring introduction; Material and method, results and discussion Bibliographical references Material and methods This chapter is untitled, the candidate should have this chapter and treat it in a way that solves the specific objectives he has set for himself. it is inside that it will house the surgical procedure and Histopathology paragraph. The History and Diagnosis paragraph is not essential Result and discussion The candidate does not present any results of his work and goes directly to the discussion. This needs to be reviewed. All figures must be interpreted The discussion must be further fleshed out according to the results obtained in each type of examination</p> <p>5. The document is scientifically sound, although the structure requires a thorough revision</p> <p>6. The bibliographical references are sufficient and fairly recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of English is acceptable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noah Ewoti Olive Vivien
Department, University & Country	University of Yaounde 1, Cameroon