

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_119337
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimating the Association between Alcohol Consumption, Tobacco Smoking, and Sexual Behaviors among Men in Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. It addresses a significant public health issue by exploring the association between alcohol consumption, tobacco smoking, and sexual behaviors among men in Côte d'Ivoire. This research fills a gap in the current literature by providing data specific to a Sub-Saharan African context, where there is limited evidence on these interrelated behaviors. Additionally, the findings can inform targeted interventions and policy measures to improve sexual and reproductive health outcomes in this region.</p> <p>2. The title effectively conveys the study's content without being sensational or misleading.</p> <p>3. In the results section of the abstract, presenting some key numbers and percentages can help to better understand the findings.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>7. The provided references are largely sufficient and up-to-date; however, some sources are older and some significant recent studies are not mentioned. It is recommended to add newer sources and more comprehensive studies to the reference list.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leila Ghahremani
Department, University & Country	Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Iran