

ReviewForm1.7

JournalName:	JournalofEconomics,ManagementandTrade
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JEMT_119148
TitleoftheManuscript:	Teachers'FinancialLiteracyinBasicEducation:ACaseStudyinDavaoCity
TypeoftheArticle	QualitativeResearchArticle

ReviewForm1.7

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Manuscript This research is interesting and the paper has been checked with a similarity rate of 4%, meaning that the author has produced original work. The author highlights the low financial literacy skills of basic education teachers, where these competencies greatly affect the ability to motivate students to manage finances and improve the welfare of themselves, families and communities. This is because teachers have a role in role modeling for the community in various ways, including how to manage finances. However, overall the authors have not followed the paper template and author guidelines set by the journal, such as font size, titles of each sub-chapter typed in capital letters and others. 2. Title Title writing is appropriate. 3. Abstract Theoretical studies used to discuss the formulation of the problem have not been included in the abstract. Abstract writing does not refer to the paper template. Please see and pay attention to the author guideline of the journal. 4. Subsections and structure manuscript <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ The subsections and structure of the manuscript do not follow the journal's paper template. ❖ In the background, the researcher only explains the importance of financial literacy for primary education teachers, and has not described the problem phenomenon in the target object of research. Do all teachers not have knowledge about financial literacy, or only a few percent do not know about financial literacy. Researchers have not provided accurate data about real problems in the object of research. Researchers can survey the salary and take home pay of teachers, the inflation rate of Davao City and others. So that the research background clearly illustrates the formulation of the problem. 5. scientific validation of the paper <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Scientifically, the results of this study are good. ❖ The researcher has chosen 3 theoretical lenses in the study of financial literacy of teachers in basic education, but the researcher has not described the benefits and contributions of the three theories to analyze and discuss the research results. 6. References References should use papers that have been published in the last three years. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The paper is written in English which is quite good and easy to understand. minor revisions are immediately corrected and can be considered for publication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ The researcher has not discussed who exactly is responsible for organizing the financial literacy seminars and workshops, and who is able to motivate the teachers to attend the workshops, the role of school leaders needs to be elaborated so that this problem is not solely the fault of the teachers, but school leaders and stakeholders in Davao city need to be brought out of their roles and responsibilities. ❖ The researcher has not discussed the strategies outlined for the contribution of body knowledge. ❖ The researcher has not outlined the limitations of the study for the sustainability of further 	

ReviewForm1.7

	research	
--	----------	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endang Sulistiyani
Department, University & Country	Politeknik Negeri Semarang, Indonesia