

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_119518
Title of the Manuscript:	Assay of Front-line Demonstration on Integrated Pest and Disease Management (IPDM) in rice in the Vikarabad district of Telangana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- Every new article can be useful for the scientific community.</p> <p>2- The title of the article, « Assay of Front-line Demonstration on Integrated Pest and Disease Management (IDPM) in rice in the Vikarabad district of Telangana » is suitable as it accurately reflects the core focus of the study.</p> <p>3- In Abstract, how much has been the reduction of pests such as stemworm, BPH, leaf eater and blast? It is expressed as a percentage</p> <p>4- NO, not bad</p> <p>5- NO</p> <p>6- NO</p> <p>a disclaimer explaining the history of the manuscript may be beneficial, especially if the chapters are extended versions of previously published articles in journals.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>No It is better to do literary editing</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The introduction is very short and does not address the topic properly. References are not properly used in the introduction. The sources used in the introduction are very limited . It is better to use a newer and more number of sources.The appropriate source for IDPM is not mentioned.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	NO

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yusof Niknejad</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Islamic Azad University, Iran</b>