

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_119518
Title of the Manuscript:	Assay of Front-line Demonstration on Integrated Pest and Disease Management (IDPM) in rice in the Vikarabad district of Telangana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>As the rice crop was most grown in India this study will play important for scientific community</p> <p>Yes, it was good and scientifically acceptable</p> <p>Abstract is nice but better to add key words at the end of the abstract</p> <p>Subsections are appropriate, but better to improve the introduction part and reference part was not appropriate, please maintain uniformity in symbols and same words</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct</p> <p>References are recent but better to add some more references, better to write in APA format Most of the references are not correct, there was no proper mentioning of the references, mentioned years in manuscript and reference part are wrong</p> <p>Must check all the references mentioned in manuscript and reference part</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Quality of English is good but do some little bit spelling corrections</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Better to check by the author once or twice before sending or submitting</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	NO

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ampolu Santosh Kumar
Department, University & Country	Central Agricultural University, India