

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_119442
Title of the Manuscript:	GENETIC VARIABILITY AND DIVERSITY FOR RANCIDITY AND ASSOCIATED CHARACTERS IN PEARL MILLET ( <i>Pennisetum glaucum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.The manuscript is very important for the community scientific in general and in particular in the research concerning the development of high-yielding pearl millet hybrids, characterized by excellent flour quality, is crucial to meet the demands of the food industry</p> <p>2. GENETIC VARIABILITY AND DIVERSITY OF PEARL MILLET(<i>pennisetum glaucum</i>) WITH RANCIDITY AND ASSOCIATED CARACTERS</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. About the structure , the references in the manuscript must be in the form of a number, it would also be better to separate the results and discussion part, the keywords are lot it would be better to put those which integrate the title of the article, the names authors and the affiliated laboratories where the work is carried out</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6.The references are too old and also small, please look for other references</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mbassi Manga Gilbert Ghislain</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Yaounde I, Cameroon</b>