

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_118652
Title of the Manuscript:	Genuine Brief Overview: Brain Tumours and their Related Evaluation and Curative Possibilities
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>1. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>2. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>3. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>4. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>5. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript provides comprehensive review regarding brain tumor</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable. Please reevaluate the use of term "tumour" since "tumor" is more commonly used in scientific paper, and a kindly reminder to correct the capitalization.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive, but minimize the redundant phrases and try to simplify the sentences for easier understanding.</p> <p>4. The structure and subsections are acceptable, but consider to change the subsection title of "Problems Related To Tumour" into "Complication Of Brain Tumor", and "Medical Supervision For Brain Tumour" into "Treatment Options For Brain Tumor"</p> <p>5 and 6. The manuscript scientifically correct, but it doesn't explain complete information according WHO 2021 classification update. Some information are missing. In this review only explain / mention about glioneural, glial, and neural sub classification.</p> <p>7. The subsection of "Ethical Statement" should covers whether the study needs ethical clearance or not.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>This paper covers the value of the manuscript to an acceptable degree, but it needs grammar revision. Consider the use of English grammar editing services.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yohan Budi Hartanto
Department, University & Country	Bethesda Hospital, Indonesia