

## Impact of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) on growth attributes of strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.) in district Banda, Uttar Pradesh

Comment [p1]: It should be written in full and italic

### Abstract

A study entitled “Impact of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) on growth attributes of strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.) in district Banda, Uttar Pradesh” was carried out at the “Green shade Net house” located in the Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Banda University of Agriculture & Technology, Banda (U.P.), during the academic years of 2022-23 and 2023-24. Eleven treatments using various combinations of N, P, K, nano urea, bio fertilizers, and organic manures were tested in a Randomised Block Design with three replicates. The experiment's primary objective was to determine the effect of nano technology on the vegetative growth traits of strawberry cv. Winter Dawn. According to the findings of 2 years study and pooled results, application of treatment T<sub>4</sub> [75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 250 Kg VC + AZO + PSB] proved to be most effective treatment to increase growth attributes of strawberry *i.e.*, plant height 9.09 cm, 13.61 cm and 17.78 cm at 30, 60 and 90 DAP, respectively; plant spread *i.e.*, 12.75 cm, 21.32 cm, and 23.44 cm at 30, 60 and 90 DAP, respectively; number of leaves *i.e.*, 5.75, 13.81 and 17.87 at 30, 60 and 90 DAP, respectively; leaf length *i.e.*, 4.70 cm, 5.80 cm and 8.29 cm at 30, 60 and 90 DAP, respectively; leaf width *i.e.*, 5.94 cm, 7.53 cm and 11.60 cm at 30, 60 and 90 DAP, respectively; Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) *i.e.*, 40.31 cm<sup>2</sup>, 51.93 cm<sup>2</sup>, 66.38 cm<sup>2</sup> number of runners per plant *i.e.*, 5.27, number of crowns per plant *i.e.*, 4.39, Biomass of fresh weight (g) *i.e.*, 147.37 g and Biomass of dry weight (g) *i.e.*, 36.84 g. Therefore, application of 75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 250 Kg VC + AZO + PSB] proved to be most effective treatment to increase growth attributes of strawberry and same can be recommended to the growers.

Comment [p2]: Same as comment 1

Comment [p3]: Quotation mark was introduced

Comment [p4]: Space inserted

Comment [p5]: Space inserted

Comment [p6]: k

Comment [p7]: b

Comment [p8]: b

Comment [p9]: Space inserted

Comment [p10]: Space inserted

Comment [p11]: k

**Keywords:** Azotobacter, Bio-fertilizer, Growth, Nano urea, Organic manure, PSB, Strawberry, Winter Dawn.

Comment [p12]: It should be written in full

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The strawberry, scientifically known as *Fragaria × ananassa* Duch., belongs to the Rosaceae family (Gupta and Tripathi, 2012). It is classified as a "false fruit" and is renowned for being one of the most delectable, invigorating, and nourishing soft fruits in the world (Sparacino *et al.*, 2024). Originally native to America, the strawberry has gained widespread popularity (Galletta *et al.*, 1990). The development of this particular hybrid took place in France during the seventeenth century. It is worth noting that this hybrid is a monoecious combination of two American octaploid species, namely *Fragaria chiloensis* Duch. and *Fragaria virginiana* Duch. (Galletta and Bringham, 1990).

Comment [p13]: Same as comment 1

This fruit thrives in temperate climates with temperatures below 26°C, which is necessary for flowering to occur (Jackson *et al.*, 2011). According to Chattopadhyay (2013), it is possible to cultivate it in subtropical climates and at high altitudes in tropical regions. Currently, strawberries are cultivated in various climatic zones thanks to their diverse genotypes. According to FAO (2019), China is the leading global producer, with 3 million tons of the world's production supply. In India, the cultivation of this product takes place on a 3000-hectare area, resulting in an annual production of 14000 metric tonnes (NHB, 2021).

Comment [p14]: Same as comment 1

Comment [p15]: Same as comment 1

Comment [p16]: Space was inserted

From a nutritional standpoint, strawberries are considered a low-calorie carbohydrate fruit. They are also packed with vitamin A, providing 60 IU per 100g of edible portion. Additionally, strawberries are a good source of fiber and contain a high amount of pectin, specifically calcium pectate (Singh and Saravanan, 2012). Strawberry fruit is primarily composed of water, making up approximately 90% of its composition. Ellagic acid is a plant phenol that occurs naturally and has been discovered to have the ability to inhibit cancer and asthma when consumed regularly (Kumar *et al.*, 2015). The strawberry fruit contains a significant amount of vitamin C (40-120 mg/100g fruit), protein, and various minerals such as phosphorus, potassium, calcium, and iron (Kanupriya, 2002). It also contains phenolics and flavonoids (Hakkinen and Torronen, 2000). Strawberries have gained popularity as a nutritious and delicious fruit enjoyed by millions worldwide (Sharma and Singh, 1999). Strawberries are known for their abundance of bioactive compounds, including anthocyanins, carotenoids, vitamins, flavonoids, and phenolics. These compounds have been found to possess strong antioxidant properties (Giampieriet *et al.*, 2017).

Comment [p17]: It should be written in full at first use

Comment [p18]: Space inserted

Comment [p19]: Space inserted

Comment [p20]: Space inserted

In recent years, the presence of unauthorized fertilizers, pesticides, and the results of biological monitoring have led to environmental contamination in strawberry agriculture (Galagarza *et al.*, 2021). Chemical fertilisers have been found to enhance crop productivity, but they also bring about the presence of detrimental residues that can have adverse effects on human health, compromise sustainability, and contribute to water pollution (Shukla *et al.*, 2022). Expanding strawberry cultivation presents a significant challenge, as noted by Abdel-Aziz *et al.* (2021). Therefore, nanotechnologies offer a promising solution to enhance agricultural production and promote sustainability. In 1974, Professor Norio Taniguchi of Tokyo University of Science introduced the term 'nanotechnology' (Khan and Rizvi, 2014). Nanofertilizers are designed to release nutrients at the right time, preventing any early interaction with the soil, water, and microbes. The nutrients quickly come together and integrate into the plant system. According to a study conducted by DeRosa *et al.* (2010), certain traits have the potential to enhance crop nutrient efficiency.

Strawberry plants thrive in regions with optimal nutrition and carefully regulated nutrient supply, resulting in increased yield. Nano mixed foliar sprays, when integrated with bio fertilizers and organic manures, offer enhanced field usage production, superior sustainability, and reduced plant mobility. Foliar nano fertilizers have been found to reduce the toxicity of macro and micro engineered elements applied to the soil, as demonstrated by Abbasifaret *al.* (2020). Keeping in view the above facts, this experiment titled **Impact of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) on growth attributes of strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.) in district Banda, Uttar Pradesh** was designed and carried out.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment mentioned above was conducted during the years 2022-23 and 2023-24 under net house conditions located in the Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Banda University of Agriculture & Technology, Banda (U.P.). The experimental site is situated within the latitudes of 24° 53'–24° 55' N and longitudes of 80° 07'–81° 34' E. District Banda is geographically surrounded by the Madhya Pradesh districts of Satna, Panna, and Chhatarpur to the south, and the districts of Fathepur to the north, Chitrakoot to the east, and Hamirpur and Mahoba to the west. The soil of the experimental site contains slightly alkaline pH of 7.91 and low organic matter of 0.49%, EC (1.41 dSm<sup>-1</sup>), Available N (106 kg/ha), Available P (9.44 kg/ha) and Available K (302 kg/ha).

Comment [p21]: Unbold and use quotation mark

Comment [p22]: Space inserted

Comment [p23]: Space inserted

Comment [p24]: Space was inserted

The plants were treated with different treatments, i.e., **T<sub>1</sub>**: 100% NPK Basal dose of fertilizers, **T<sub>2</sub>**: 100% N (Nano Urea) + 100% PK Basal dose of fertilizers, **T<sub>3</sub>**: 75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 500 Kg FYM + AZO + PSB, **T<sub>4</sub>**: 75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 250 Kg VC + AZO + PSB, **T<sub>5</sub>**: 75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 100 Kg NOC + AZO + PSB, **T<sub>6</sub>**: 50% N (Nano Urea) + 50% PK (Basal) + 750 Kg FYM + AZO + PSB, **T<sub>7</sub>**: 50% N (Nano Urea) + 50% PK (Basal) + 500 Kg VC + AZO + PSB, **T<sub>8</sub>**: 50% N (Nano Urea) + 50% PK (Basal) + 150 Kg NOC + AZO + PSB, **T<sub>9</sub>**: 25% N (Nano Urea) + 25% PK (Basal) + 1000 Kg FYM + AZO + PSB, **T<sub>10</sub>**: 25% N (Nano Urea) + 25% PK (Basal) + 750 Kg VC + AZO + PSB and **T<sub>11</sub>**: 25% N (Nano Urea) + 25% PK (Basal) + 200 Kg NOC + AZO + PSB.

Agricultural field was deep ploughed by the Disk harrow before one week of transplanting in (45×30) cm spacing. Recommended dose of NPK @ 100:120:80 kg/ha along with FYM, Vermicompost (VC) & Neem oil cake(NOC) were applied as basal dose and rest doses were applied 15 days before planting of runners as per treatment combination. Bio-fertilizers AZO (Azotobacter) and PSB (phosphorus solubilizing bacteria) were used in the experimental field to fulfil the recommended dose of bio-fertilizers. Calculated amount of bio-fertilizers were applied before mulching of the beds according to various treatment combinations. The nano urea was given immediately after transplantation, followed by three more at 20-day intervals.

The experiment conducted in RandomizedBlock Design **as per method suggested by Panse and Sukhatme, 1985** with three replications. Growth attributes like Plant height (cm), Plant spread (cm), Number of leaves, Leaf size (length and width) (cm), Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>), Number of runners per plant, Number of crowns per plant, Biomass of fresh plant (g) and Biomass of dry plant (g) were successfully measured and recorded.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A statistical analysis was conducted to study the growth characteristics of Strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa*) cv. **Winter dawn**. Based on the findings, the inclusion of different treatments led to a significant improvement in all the characteristics. Based on the comparison of F Cal and F Tab, it can be concluded that the variances exhibited statistically significant differences.

Comment [p25]: k

Comment [p26]: space inserted

Comment [p27]: k

Comment [p28]: k

Comment [p29]: k

Comment [p30]: k

Comment [p31]: k

Comment [p32]: k

Comment [p33]: k

Comment [p34]: k

Comment [p35]: solubilizing

Comment [p36]: unbold

Comment [p37]: It is hanging; need to be deleted

## GROWTH ATTRIBUTES

**Fruit weight (g):** According to results pertaining to Table 1, it was found that the treatment T<sub>4</sub>[75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 250 Kg VC + AZO + PSB] was found best compared to other treatments. It was found significantly the maximum plant height (cm) *i.e.*, [8.83 (2022-23), 9.36 (2023-24) and 9.09 (pooled)] cm at 30 DAP, [13.21 (2022-23), 14.00 (2023-24) and 13.61 (pooled)] cm at 60 DAP and [17.43 (2022-23), 18.13 (2023-24) and 17.78 (pooled)] cm at 90 DAP whereas, least plant height (cm) *i.e.*, [5.94 (2022-23), 6.30 (2023-24) and 6.12 (pooled)] cm at 30 DAP, [8.42 (2022-23), 8.93 (2023-24) and 8.67 (pooled)] cm at 60 DAP and [12.91 (2022-23), 13.43 (2023-24) and 13.17 (pooled)] cm at 90 DAP was found under the effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub>[100% NPK Basal dose of fertilizers]

Comment [p38]: Same as comment 34

**Plant spread (cm):** As per the data regarding plant spread (cm) (Table 2), effect of treatment T<sub>4</sub>[75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 250 Kg VC + AZO + PSB] was found best with significantly maximum plant spread (cm) *i.e.*, [12.44 (2022-23), 13.06 (2023-24) and 12.75 (pooled)] cm at 30 DAP, [20.21 (2022-23), 22.43 (2023-24) and 21.32 (pooled)] cm at 60 DAP and [23.21 (2022-23), 23.67 (2023-24) and 23.44 (pooled)] cm at 90 DAP whereas, least plant spread (cm) *i.e.*, [8.85 (2022-23), 9.29 (2023-24) and 9.07 (pooled)] cm at 30 DAP, [13.49 (2022-23), 14.97 (2023-24) and 14.23 (pooled)] cm at 60 DAP and [19.09 (2022-23), 19.47 (2023-24) and 19.28 (pooled)] cm at 90 DAP was found under the effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub>[100% NPK Basal dose of fertilizers]

Comment [p39]: Space was inserted

Comment [p40]: Same as comment 34

**Number of leaves:** The results indicating the number of leaves (Table 3) as effected by Integrated Nutrient Management shows that treatment T<sub>4</sub>[75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 250 Kg VC + AZO + PSB] was found best with significantly maximum number of leaves *i.e.*, [5.45 (2022-23), 6.05 (2023-24) and 5.75 (pooled)] at 30 DAP, [13.67 (2022-23), 13.94 (2023-24) and 13.81 (pooled)] at 60 DAP and [17.78 (2022-23), 17.96 (2023-24) and 17.87 (pooled)] at 90 DAP whereas least number of leaves *i.e.*, [4.15 (2022-23), 4.61 (2023-24) and 4.38 (pooled)] at 30 DAP, [9.55 (2022-23), 9.74 (2023-24) and 9.65 (pooled)] at 60 DAP and [14.04 (2022-23), 14.18 (2023-24) and 14.11 (pooled)] at 90 DAP was found under the effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub>[100% NPK Basal dose of fertilizers].

Comment [p41]: Same as comment 34

Comment [p42]: Space inserted

**Leaf length (cm):** The experimental result regarding leaf length (cm) (Table 4) indicate that effect of treatment T<sub>4</sub>[75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 250 Kg VC + AZO + PSB] was found best with significantly maximum leaf length (cm) *i.e.*, [4.67 (2022-23), 4.72 (2023-24) and 4.70 (pooled)] cm at 30 DAP, [5.77 (2022-23), 5.83 (2023-24) and 5.80 (pooled)] cm at 60 DAP and [8.24 (2022-23), 8.35 (2023-24) and 8.29 (pooled)] cm at 90

Comment [p43]: Same as comment 13

Comment [p44]: Same as comment 34

DAP whereas least leaf length (cm) *i.e.*, [3.39 (2022-23), 3.43 (2023-24) and 3.41 (pooled)] cm at 30 DAP, [4.19 (2022-23), 4.23 (2023-24) and 4.21 (pooled)] cm at 60 DAP and [5.98 (2022-23), 6.06 (2023-24) and 6.02 (pooled)] cm at 90 DAP was found under the effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub> [100% NPK Basal dose of fertilizers].

**Leaf width (cm):** Significant differences were observed regarding data indication leaf width (cm) (Table 5) of strawberry. Treatment T<sub>4</sub> [75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 250 Kg VC + AZO + PSB] was found best with significantly maximum leaf width (cm) *i.e.*, [5.93 (2022-23), 5.96 (2023-24) and 5.94 (pooled)] cm at 30 DAP, [7.51 (2022-23), 7.56 (2023-24) and 7.53 (pooled)] cm at 60 DAP and [11.55 (2022-23), 11.65 (2023-24) and 11.60 (pooled)] cm at 90 DAP whereas least leaf width (cm) *i.e.*, [4.09 (2022-23), 4.11 (2023-24) and 4.10 (pooled)] cm at 30 DAP, [5.18 (2022-23), 5.22 (2023-24) and 5.20 (pooled)] cm at 60 DAP and [7.97 (2022-23), 8.04 (2023-24) and 8.01 (pooled)] cm at 90 DAP was found under the effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub> [100% NPK Basal dose of fertilizers].

Comment [p45]: Same as comment 34

**Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>):** As per the data regarding Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) (Table 6), effect of treatment T<sub>4</sub> [75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 250 Kg VC + AZO + PSB] was found best with significantly maximum Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) *i.e.*, [40.24 (2022-23), 40.38 (2023-24) and 40.31 (pooled)] cm<sup>2</sup> at 30 DAP, [51.51 (2022-23), 52.35 (2023-24) and 51.93 (pooled)] cm<sup>2</sup> at 60 DAP and [65.52 (2022-23), 67.25 (2023-24) and 66.38 (pooled)] cm<sup>2</sup> at 90 DAP whereas least Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) *i.e.*, [30.04 (2022-23), 30.14 (2023-24) and 30.09 (pooled)] cm<sup>2</sup> at 30 DAP, [41.37 (2022-23), 42.05 (2023-24) and 41.71 (pooled)] cm<sup>2</sup> at 60 DAP and [49.44 (2022-23), 50.75 (2023-24) and 50.09 (pooled)] cm<sup>2</sup> at 90 DAP was found under the effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub> [100% NPK Basal dose of fertilizers].

Comment [p46]: Same as comment 34

**Number of runners per plant:** The results indicating to number of runners per plant (Table 7) as effected by Integrated Nutrient Management shows that treatment T<sub>4</sub> [75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 250 Kg VC + AZO + PSB] was found best with significantly maximum number of runners per plant *i.e.*, [5.05 (2022-23), 5.48 (2023-24) and 5.27 (pooled)] whereas least number of runners per plant *i.e.*, [1.12 (2022-23), 1.24 (2023-24) and 1.18 (pooled)] was found under the effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub> [100% NPK Basal dose of fertilizers].

**Number of crowns per plant:** According to results pertaining to Table 7, it was found that the treatment T<sub>4</sub> [75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 250 Kg VC + AZO + PSB] was found best compared to other treatments. It was found significantly the maximum number of crowns per plant *i.e.*, [4.33 (2022-23), 4.46 (2023-24) and 4.39 (pooled)] whereas least number

Comment [p47]: Same as comment 34

of crowns per plant *i.e.*, [2.37 (2022-23), 2.44 (2023-24) and 2.41 (pooled)] was found under the effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub> [100% NPK Basal dose of fertilizers].

**Biomass of fresh weight (g):** The data regarding Biomass of fresh weight (g) is shown in Table 8. From the data it was depicted that treatment T<sub>4</sub>[75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 250 Kg VC + AZO + PSB] was found best compared to other treatments. It was found significantly the maximum Biomass of fresh weight (g) *i.e.*, [145.45 (2022-23), 149.29 (2023-24) and 147.37 (pooled)] g whereas least Biomass of fresh weight (g) *i.e.*, [118.74 (2022-23), 121.87 (2023-24) and 120.31 (pooled)] g was found under the effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub> [100% NPK Basal dose of fertilizers].

**Biomass of dry weight (g):** As per the data regarding Biomass of dry weight (g) (Table 8), effect of treatment T<sub>4</sub>[75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 250 Kg VC + AZO + PSB] was found best with significantly maximum Biomass of dry weight (g) *i.e.*, [36.36 (2022-23), 37.32 (2023-24) and 36.84 (pooled)] g whereas least Biomass of dry weight (g) *i.e.*, [29.69 (2022-23), 30.47 (2023-24) and 30.08 (pooled)] g was found under the effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub> [100% NPK Basal dose of fertilizers].

**DISCUSSION:** Treatment T<sub>4</sub>[75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 250 Kg VC + AZO + PSB] had the greatest effect and was the most significant in increasing *vegetative growth of strawberry*.

There were significant differences in the impact of treatments on the height and spread of the plants, both in the individual years of observation and pooled data. It is possible that the presence of urea nanoparticles allows for easier penetration into leaf cells and stomata, resulting in an enhanced availability of nitrogen to the plant cells (Abdel-Aziz *et al.*, 2018). According to Sun *et al.* (2023), the rise in nitrogen availability could have resulted in the rapid synthesis of chlorophyll, crucial enzymes, and proteins. Consequently, there is an increase in photosynthesis and the corresponding assimilation of carbohydrates. According to Gajbhiye *et al.* (2003), the heightened metabolic pathways could have resulted in accelerated cell elongation and multiplication, resulting in an overall increase in plant height and spread. Other studies have also documented similar results in guava (Bhatti *et al.*, 2023; Kumar *et al.*, 2024) and strawberry (Singh *et al.*, 2023).

Treatment T<sub>4</sub> [75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 250 Kg VC + AZO + PSB] resulted in the highest number of leaves, as well as the largest leaf size and leaf area. Nano-fertilizers are essential for enhancing the physiological and biochemical processes of crops, as

Comment [p48]: b

Comment [p49]: same as comment 34

Comment [p50]: b

Comment [p51]: b

Comment [p52]: same as comment 34

Comment [p53]: space inserted

Comment [p54]: b

Comment [p55]: b

Comment [p56]: same as comment 34

Comment [p57]: Space inserted

Comment [p58]: Space inserted

Comment [p59]: Same as comment 34

they enhance nutrient accessibility (**Nongbetet et al., 2022**). As a result, there is an increase in apical growth and photosynthetic area due to the promotion of meristematic activities and enhanced metabolic processes. According to the study, the application of nano N through foliar spraying resulted in enhanced growth characteristics of plants. The increased availability of nutrients was attributed to the penetration of nano N through the stomata of leaves via gas uptake, as discussed by **Rajasekar et al. (2017)**. In addition, the application of vermicompost with bio-fertilizers such as PSB and Azotobacter may have played a role in promoting plant growth. This could be due to the release of plant growth regulators by microorganisms in the rhizosphere, which are subsequently absorbed by the roots. As a result, the improved growth of plants can be attributed to the increased process of biological nitrogen fixation. According to **Gajbhiyet al. (2003)**, the improved development of the root system and the production of plant growth hormones like IAA, GA, and Cytokinins, combined with the direct influence of bio-fertilizers, could have led to an augmentation in plant growth parameters. The findings of this study align closely with the results obtained by **Gabr et al. (2001)** on pepper and **El-Zeinyet al. (2001)** on tomato. Studies conducted by **Bhatti et al. (2023)** on guava and **Kalil et al. (2022)** on strawberry have reported a significant increase in the number of leaves and leaf area as a result of using nano urea.

The findings of the present experiment also revealed that highest number of runners per plant, number of crowns per plant and biomass fresh and dry weight was reported under the effect of treatment T<sub>4</sub> [75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 250 Kg VC + AZO + PSB]. The application of nano urea on the plants led to sustained release of nitrogen to the plants through the leaves, as a result of which the bioavailability of the nitrogen increases leading to optimal development of source-sink capacity of plants (**Velmurugan et al., 2021**). The subsequent increase in the number of runners per plant can also be attributed to the enhanced plant growth in terms of height and leaf count. This leads to the accumulation of additional photosynthesis, resulting in an increase in both runners and leaf area per plant. The results align entirely with **Upadhyayet al. (2023)** study on strawberries, which reported the largest number of runners per plant when PM + Azotobacter + wood ash + vermicompost + oil cake were applied. Strawberry plant biomass might have increased due to the fact that synergistic effect of Nano Urea, Vermicompost, Azotobacter and PSB along with basal dose of P and K led to the sustained release of nutrients and in bioavailable form to the plants. This might have led to increase in the sink capacity of plants leading more storage tissue developments in roots (**Bottoms et al., 2013**). This leads to more biomass production of the

strawberry plants. Similar results were reported by Singh *et al.* (2023) and Kalil and Al-Aareji (2022) in strawberry.

**Table 1: Effect of Integrated nutrient management on plant height (cm) of strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn**

Treatment	Plant Height (cm)								
	30 DAP			60 DAP			90 DAP		
	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub>	5.94	6.30	6.12	8.42	8.93	8.67	12.91	13.43	13.17
T <sub>2</sub>	6.02	6.38	6.20	8.81	9.34	9.07	13.21	13.74	13.47
T <sub>3</sub>	8.71	9.23	8.97	12.81	13.58	13.19	17.09	17.77	17.43
T <sub>4</sub>	8.83	9.36	9.09	13.21	14.00	13.61	17.43	18.13	17.78
T <sub>5</sub>	8.09	8.58	8.33	11.64	12.34	11.99	15.94	16.58	16.26
T <sub>6</sub>	7.84	8.31	8.08	11.26	11.94	11.60	15.58	16.20	15.89
T <sub>7</sub>	8.57	9.08	8.83	12.19	12.92	12.56	16.51	17.17	16.84
T <sub>8</sub>	7.42	7.87	7.64	10.87	11.52	11.20	15.01	15.61	15.31
T <sub>9</sub>	7.18	7.61	7.40	9.86	10.45	10.16	14.09	14.65	14.37
T <sub>10</sub>	7.31	7.75	7.53	10.26	10.88	10.57	14.42	15.00	14.71
T <sub>11</sub>	6.19	6.56	6.38	9.43	10.00	9.71	13.77	14.32	14.05
S.E. (m) (±)	<b>0.11</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>0.12</b>
C.D. @ 5%	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.37</b>	<b>0.24</b>	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.52</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.46</b>	<b>0.52</b>	<b>0.34</b>

Comment [p60]: i

Comment [p61]: Same as comment 1

Comment [p62]: To be deleted

Comment [p63]: Same as comment 19

**Table 2: Effect of Integrated nutrient management on plant spread (cm) of strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn**

Treatment	Plant Spread (cm)								
	30 DAP			60 DAP			90 DAP		
	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub>	8.85	9.29	9.07	13.49	14.97	14.23	19.09	19.47	19.28
T <sub>2</sub>	9.02	9.47	9.25	14.01	15.55	14.78	19.37	19.76	19.56
T <sub>3</sub>	12.21	12.82	12.52	19.66	21.82	20.74	22.93	23.39	23.16
T <sub>4</sub>	12.44	13.06	12.75	20.21	22.43	21.32	23.21	23.67	23.44
T <sub>5</sub>	11.21	11.77	11.49	17.95	19.92	18.94	21.68	22.11	21.90
T <sub>6</sub>	10.89	11.43	11.16	17.41	19.33	18.37	21.40	21.83	21.61
T <sub>7</sub>	12.09	12.69	12.39	18.81	20.88	19.84	22.31	22.76	22.53
T <sub>8</sub>	10.34	10.86	10.60	16.86	18.71	17.79	21.09	21.51	21.30
T <sub>9</sub>	10.02	10.52	10.27	15.38	17.07	16.23	20.13	20.53	20.33
T <sub>10</sub>	10.18	10.69	10.43	15.95	17.70	16.83	20.44	20.85	20.64
T <sub>11</sub>	9.21	9.67	9.44	14.83	16.46	15.65	19.85	20.25	20.05
S.E. (m) (±)	<b>0.14</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>0.22</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>0.10</b>
C.D. @ 5%	<b>0.41</b>	<b>0.44</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>0.64</b>	<b>0.52</b>	<b>0.46</b>	<b>0.39</b>	<b>0.44</b>	<b>0.29</b>

Comment [p64]: i

Comment [p65]: Same as comment 1

Comment [p66]: Same as comment 15

Comment [p67]: Same as comment 19

**Table 3: Effect of Integrated nutrient management on number of leaves of strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn**

Treatment	Number of leaves								
	30 DAP			60 DAP			90 DAP		
	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub>	4.15	4.61	4.38	9.55	9.74	9.65	14.04	14.18	14.11
T <sub>2</sub>	4.22	4.68	4.45	9.86	10.06	9.96	14.43	14.57	14.50
T <sub>3</sub>	5.38	5.97	5.68	13.38	13.65	13.51	17.42	17.59	17.51
T <sub>4</sub>	5.45	6.05	5.75	13.67	13.94	13.81	17.78	17.96	17.87
T <sub>5</sub>	4.94	5.48	5.21	12.20	12.44	12.32	16.20	16.36	16.28
T <sub>6</sub>	4.87	5.41	5.14	11.91	12.15	12.03	15.81	15.97	15.89
T <sub>7</sub>	5.16	5.73	5.44	12.79	13.05	12.92	16.76	16.93	16.84
T <sub>8</sub>	4.80	5.33	5.06	11.62	11.85	11.74	16.36	16.52	16.44
T <sub>9</sub>	4.51	5.01	4.76	10.74	10.95	10.85	15.28	15.43	15.36
T <sub>10</sub>	4.58	5.08	4.83	11.03	11.25	11.14	15.64	15.80	15.72
T <sub>11</sub>	4.44	4.93	4.68	10.45	10.66	10.55	14.90	15.05	14.97
S.E. (m) (±)	<b>0.04</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>0.12</b>
C.D. @ 5%	<b>0.12</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>0.41</b>	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.31</b>	<b>0.46</b>	<b>0.50</b>	<b>0.33</b>

Comment [p68]: i

Comment [p69]: Same as comment

Comment [p70]: Same as comment 15

Comment [p71]: Same as comment 19

**Table 4: Effect of Integrated nutrient management on leaf length (cm) of strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn**

Treatment	Leaf length (cm)								
	30 DAP			60 DAP			90 DAP		
	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub>	3.39	3.43	3.41	4.19	4.23	4.21	5.98	6.06	6.02
T <sub>2</sub>	3.49	3.53	3.51	4.31	4.35	4.33	6.15	6.23	6.19
T <sub>3</sub>	4.58	4.63	4.61	5.66	5.72	5.69	8.08	8.18	8.13
T <sub>4</sub>	4.67	4.72	4.70	5.77	5.83	5.80	8.24	8.35	8.29
T <sub>5</sub>	4.23	4.28	4.25	5.22	5.28	5.25	7.46	7.56	7.51
T <sub>6</sub>	4.14	4.19	4.16	5.11	5.17	5.14	7.30	7.39	7.35
T <sub>7</sub>	4.41	4.45	4.43	5.44	5.50	5.47	7.77	7.87	7.82
T <sub>8</sub>	4.05	4.09	4.07	5.00	5.05	5.03	7.14	7.23	7.19
T <sub>9</sub>	3.78	3.82	3.80	4.67	4.72	4.69	6.67	6.76	6.71
T <sub>10</sub>	3.87	3.92	3.89	4.78	4.83	4.81	6.83	6.92	6.87
T <sub>11</sub>	3.69	3.73	3.71	4.56	4.61	4.58	6.51	6.59	6.55
S.E. (m) (±)	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.08	0.06
C.D. @ 5%	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.09	0.12	0.07	0.21	0.25	0.16

Comment [p72]: i

Comment [p73]: Same as comment 1

Comment [p74]: Same as comment 15

Comment [p75]: Same as comment 19

**Table 5: Effect of Integrated nutrient management on leaf width (cm) of strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn**

Treatment	Leaf width (cm)								
	30 DAP			60 DAP			90 DAP		
	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub>	4.09	4.11	4.10	5.18	5.22	5.20	7.97	8.04	8.01
T <sub>2</sub>	4.23	4.25	4.24	5.36	5.39	5.37	8.24	8.31	8.28
T <sub>3</sub>	5.81	5.84	5.83	7.36	7.41	7.38	11.32	11.42	11.37
T <sub>4</sub>	5.93	5.96	5.94	7.51	7.56	7.53	11.55	11.65	11.60
T <sub>5</sub>	5.27	5.29	5.28	6.67	6.72	6.69	10.26	10.35	10.31
T <sub>6</sub>	5.15	5.18	5.16	6.52	6.56	6.54	10.03	10.12	10.07
T <sub>7</sub>	5.54	5.57	5.55	7.01	7.06	7.04	10.79	10.89	10.84
T <sub>8</sub>	5.03	5.06	5.04	6.37	6.41	6.39	9.80	9.89	9.84
T <sub>9</sub>	4.64	4.66	4.65	5.88	5.92	5.90	9.04	9.12	9.08
T <sub>10</sub>	4.76	4.78	4.77	6.03	6.07	6.05	9.27	9.35	9.31
T <sub>11</sub>	4.52	4.55	4.53	5.73	5.77	5.75	8.81	8.89	8.85
S.E. (m) (±)	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.11	0.14	0.09
C.D. @ 5%	0.06	0.09	0.05	0.12	0.16	0.10	0.34	0.41	0.26

Comment [p76]: i

Comment [p77]: Same as comment 1

Comment [p78]: Same as comment 15

Comment [p79]: Same as comment 19

**Table 6: Effect of Integrated nutrient management on leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) of strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn**

Treatment	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )								
	30 DAP			60 DAP			90 DAP		
	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub>	30.04	30.14	30.09	41.37	42.05	41.71	49.44	50.75	50.09
T <sub>2</sub>	31.27	31.38	31.32	42.69	43.39	43.04	51.24	52.59	51.92
T <sub>3</sub>	39.71	39.85	39.78	50.89	51.72	51.31	64.84	66.55	65.70
T <sub>4</sub>	40.24	40.38	40.31	51.51	52.35	51.93	65.52	67.25	66.38
T <sub>5</sub>	37.25	37.38	37.31	48.23	49.02	48.63	60.48	62.08	61.28
T <sub>6</sub>	36.72	36.84	36.78	47.61	48.39	48.00	59.80	61.38	60.59
T <sub>7</sub>	38.48	38.61	38.55	49.55	50.36	49.96	62.66	64.31	63.49
T <sub>8</sub>	35.49	35.61	35.55	46.29	47.05	46.67	57.62	59.14	58.38
T <sub>9</sub>	33.73	33.84	33.79	44.35	45.08	44.71	54.76	56.21	55.48
T <sub>10</sub>	34.26	34.38	34.32	44.97	45.71	45.34	55.44	56.90	56.17
T <sub>11</sub>	32.50	32.61	32.56	43.01	43.72	43.36	52.58	53.97	53.27
S.E. (m) (±)	<b>0.25</b>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>0.30</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.40</b>	<b>0.43</b>	<b>0.29</b>
C.D. @ 5%	<b>0.73</b>	<b>0.75</b>	<b>0.51</b>	<b>0.82</b>	<b>0.87</b>	<b>0.58</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>1.26</b>	<b>0.84</b>

Comment [p80]: i

Comment [p81]: Same as comment 1

Comment [p82]: Same as comment 15

Comment [p83]: Same as comment 19

**Table 7: Effect of Integrated nutrient management on number of runners per plant and number of crowns per plant of strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn**

Treatment Symbol	Number of runners per plant			Number of crowns per plant		
	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub>	1.12	1.24	1.18	2.37	2.44	2.41
T <sub>2</sub>	1.53	1.66	1.59	2.49	2.56	2.53
T <sub>3</sub>	4.80	5.23	5.02	4.19	4.32	4.25
T <sub>4</sub>	5.05	5.48	5.27	4.33	4.46	4.39
T <sub>5</sub>	3.70	4.04	3.87	3.66	3.77	3.71
T <sub>6</sub>	3.45	3.74	3.60	3.52	3.63	3.57
T <sub>7</sub>	4.25	4.64	4.44	3.91	4.03	3.97
T <sub>8</sub>	2.90	3.15	3.02	3.38	3.48	3.43
T <sub>9</sub>	2.10	2.30	2.20	2.96	3.05	3.00
T <sub>10</sub>	2.38	2.58	2.48	3.09	3.18	3.14
T <sub>11</sub>	1.98	2.17	2.08	2.82	2.90	2.86
S.E. (m) (±)	<b>0.14</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>0.07</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>0.05</b>
C.D. @ 5%	<b>0.41</b>	<b>0.42</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>0.15</b>

Comment [p84]: i

Comment [p85]: Same as comment 1

Comment [p86]: Same as comment 15

Comment [p87]: Same as comment 19

**Table 8: Effect of Integrated nutrient management on biomass of fresh plant (g) and biomass of dry plant (g) of strawberry (*Fragaria× ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn**

Treatment Symbol	Biomass of fresh plant (g)			Biomass of dry plant (g)		
	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub>	118.74	121.87	120.31	29.69	30.47	30.08
T <sub>2</sub>	121.85	125.07	123.46	30.46	31.27	30.86
T <sub>3</sub>	143.84	147.64	145.74	35.96	36.91	36.43
T <sub>4</sub>	145.45	149.29	147.37	36.36	37.32	36.84
T <sub>5</sub>	137.62	141.25	139.44	34.41	35.31	34.86
T <sub>6</sub>	136.00	139.59	137.80	34.00	34.90	34.45
T <sub>7</sub>	140.73	144.45	142.59	35.18	36.11	35.65
T <sub>8</sub>	132.89	136.40	134.64	33.22	34.10	33.66
T <sub>9</sub>	128.07	131.45	129.76	32.02	32.86	32.44
T <sub>10</sub>	129.78	133.21	131.49	32.45	33.30	32.87
T <sub>11</sub>	124.96	128.26	126.61	31.24	32.06	31.65
<b>S.E. (m) (±)</b>	<b>0.68</b>	<b>0.73</b>	<b>0.50</b>	<b>0.21</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>0.16</b>
<b>C.D. @ 5%</b>	<b>1.99</b>	<b>2.15</b>	<b>1.42</b>	<b>0.62</b>	<b>0.68</b>	<b>0.45</b>

Comment [p88]: i

Comment [p89]: Same as comment 1

Comment [p90]: Same as comment 15

Comment [p91]: Same as comment 19

## CONCLUSION

Based on the results, treatment T<sub>4</sub> [75% N (Nano Urea) + 75% PK (Basal) + 250 Kg VC + AZO + PSB] was the most efficient treatment at boosting vegetative growth characteristics. This integrated approach combines advanced nano-urea technology, optimized basal nutrient provision, and the enriching properties of vermicompost and beneficial microorganisms to maximize the benefits. The combination of these components likely fostered an optimal environment for absorbing nutrients and promoting plant growth, leading to exceptional vegetative growth measurements. The results highlight the importance of customized nutrient management techniques in enhancing plant growth, leading to higher crop yields and increased sustainability in strawberry farming. This production practice can be shared with strawberry farmers to improve their production and productivity.

Comment [p92]: Same as comment 34

## REFERENCES

1. **Abbasifar, A., Shahrabadi, F. and ValizadehKaji, B. (2020).** Effects of green synthesized zinc and copper nano-fertilizers on the morphological and biochemical attributes of basil plant, *J. Plant Nutr.*,**43**: 1104–1118.
2. **Abdel-Aziz, H. M. M., Hasaneen, M. N. A. and Omer, A. M. (2018).** Foliar application of nano chitosan NPK fertilizer improves the yield of wheat plants grown on two different soils. *Egypt. J. Exp. Biol.,(Bot.)*, **14(1)**: 63-72.
3. **Abdel-Aziz, H. M. M., Soliman, M. I., Abo Al-Saoud, A. M. and El-Sherbeny, G. A. (2021).** Waste derived npknano fertilizer enhances growth and productivity of *capsicum annum* l, *Plants* **10**.
4. **Bhatti, D., Varu, D. K. andDudhat, M. (2023).**Effect of different doses of urea and nano-urea on growth and yield of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) Cv. Lucknow-49.*Pharma Innovation Journal*, **12(7)**: 464-468.
5. **Bottoms, T. G., Hartz, T. K., Cahn, M. D. and Farrara, B. F. (2013).** Crop and soil nitrogen dynamics in annual strawberry production in California. *HortScience*, **48(8)**:1034-1039.
6. **Chattopadhyay, T. K. (2013).** A Textbook on Pomology. *Kalyani Publishers*, New Delhi. pp. 88-147.
7. **De Rosa, G., Lopez-Moreno, M. L., De Haro, D., Botez, C. E., Peralta Videa, J. E., GardeaTorresdey, J. L. (2013).** Effects of ZnO NPs nanoparticles in alfalfa, tomato, and cucumber at the germination stage. Root development and X-ray absorption spectroscopy studies. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **85(12)**: 2161–2174.
8. **El-Zeiny, O.A.H., El-Behairy, U.A. and Zaky, M.H. (2001).**Influence of bio-fertilizer on growth, yield and fruit quality of tomato grown under plastic house. *Journal of Agricultural Science- Mansoura University*, **26(3)**: 1749-1763.
9. **FAO (2019).** Food and Agriculture Organization.
10. **Gabr, S.M., Ghoneim, I.M. and Hassan, H.M.F. (2001).** Effects of bio-and nitrogen fertilization on growth, flowering, chemical contents, yield and quality of sweet pepper. *Journal of Advance Agricultural Research*, **6(4)**: 939-955.
11. **Gajbhiye, R.P., Sharma, R.R. and Tewari, R.N. (2003).** Effect of bio-fertilizers on growth and yield parameters of tomato. *Indian Journal Horticulture*,**60(4)**: 368-371.

Comment [p93]: NPK

Comment [p94]: Space inserted

Comment [p95]: Not properly referenced

12. Galagarza, O. A., Ramirez-Hernandez, A., Oliver, H. F., Álvarez Rodríguez, M. V., Valdez Ortiz, M. C., Vera, E. P., Cereceda, Y., Diaz-Valencia, Y. K. and Deering, A. J. (2021). Occurrence of Chemical Contaminants in Peruvian Produce: A Food-Safety Perspective; *Foods*, **10**(7): 1461.
13. Galletta G. J. and Bringhurst R. S. (1990). Strawberry management (in): Small Fruit Crops Management. Galletta, G. J. and Mimmelrick (eds.) Prentice Hall. Englewood Cliff, new jersey, 3.
14. Galletta, G. J., Lawrence, F. J., and Scott, D. H. (1990). Strawberry breeding work of the United States Department of Agriculture. *Hortic. Sci.*, **25**: 895–96.
15. Giampieri, F., Forbes-Hernandez, T. Y., Gasparrini, M., Afrin, S., Cianciosi, D. and Reboredo-Rodriguez, P. (2017). The healthy effects of strawberry bioactive compounds on molecular pathways related to chronic diseases. *Ann. NY Acad. Sci.*, **1398**(1): 62-71.
16. Gupta, A. K. and Tripathi, V. K. (2012). Efficacy of Azotobacter and vermicompost alone and in combination on vegetative growth, flowering and yield of strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch.) Cv. Chandler; *Progressive Horticulture*, **44**(2):256-261.
17. Hakkinen, S. and Torronen, R. (2000) Content of Flavonols and Selected Phenolic Acids in Strawberries Vaccinium Species: Influence of Cultivar, Cultivation Site and Technique; *Food Reviews International*, **33**: 517-524.
18. Jackson, D., Looney, N., Morley, B. and Thiele, G. (2011). Temperate and subtropical fruit production, *Butterworths publication* 1987, New Zealand. pp. 202-25.
19. Kalil, A. T. and Al-Aareji, J. M. (2022). Role of foliar spray with selenium and urea on growth, flowering, and yield of strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch.) CV. Albion. *British Journal of Global Ecology and Sustainable Development*, **5**: 1-11.
20. Kanupriya. (2002). Crop Scan (strawberry). *Agriculture Today*, 48-49p.
21. Khan, M. R., Rizvi, T. F. (2014). Nanotechnology: Scope and application in plant disease management. *Plant Pathol. J.*, **13**: 214–231.
22. Kumar, A., Joseph, A. V. and Bahadur, V. (2024). Effect of Foliar Application of Nano Urea, Boron and Zinc Sulphate on Growth, Yield and Quality of Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Allahabad Surkha. *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology*, **27**(6): 285-292.

Comment [p96]: New Jersey

Comment [p97]: Same as comment 1

Comment [p98]: Change to italic

Comment [p99]: Should be deleted

23. **Kumar, N., Singh, H. K. and Mishra, P. K. (2015).** Impact of organic manure and bio-fertilizers on growth and quality parameters of strawberry Cv. Chandler. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 8(15): 1-6.
24. **NHB (2021).** National Horticulture Board.
25. **Nongbet, A., Mishra, A. K., Mohanta, Y. K., Mahanta, S., Ray, M. K., Khan, M., Baek, K. H. and Chakrabartty, I. (2022).** Nanofertilizers: A Smart and Sustainable Attribute to Modern Agriculture; *Plants (Basel)*, 11(19): 2587.
26. **Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. (1985).** Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. *Indian Council of Agricultural Research Publication*, 87-89.
27. **Rajasekar, M., Nandhini, D. U. and Suganthi, S. (2017).** Supplementation of mineral nutrients through foliar spray-A review. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(3): 2504-2513.
28. **Sharma, R. R. and Singh, S. K. (1999).** Strawberry cultivation- a highly remunerative farming enterprise. *Agro Indian*, 3(2): 29-31.
29. **Shukla, A. K., Behera, S. K., Chaudhari, S. K. and Singh, G. (2022).** Fertilizer Use in Indian Agriculture and its Impact on Human Health and Environment; *Indian Journal of Fertilisers*, 18(3): 218-237.
30. **Singh, L., Dhaliwal, J. S. and Shaifali, M. B. (2023).** Effect of Nano Urea in Combination with Azotobacter on Growth and Yield of Strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa* Dutch.) cv. Winter Dawn in Trans-Gangetic Region. *Journal of Food Chemistry & Nanotechnology/ Volume*, 9(1): 16-20.
31. **Singh, S. K. and Saravanan, S. (2012).** Effect of bio-fertilizers and micronutrients on yield and quality of strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch) cv. CHANDLER; *The Asian Journal of Horticulture*, 7(2): 533-536.
32. **Sparacino, A., Ollani, S., Baima, L., Oliviero, M., Borra, D., Rui, M. and Mastro Monaco, G. (2024).** Analyzing Strawberry Preferences: Best–Worst Scaling Methodology and Purchase Styles; *Foods*, 13(10): 1474.
33. **Sun, J., Jin, L., Li, R., Meng, X., Jin, N., Wang, S., Xu, Z., Liu, Z., Lyu, J. and Yu, J., (2023).** Effects of Different Forms and Proportions of Nitrogen on the Growth, Photosynthetic Characteristics, and Carbon and Nitrogen Metabolism in Tomato. *Plants*, 12(24):p.4175.

Comment [p100]: Not properly written

Comment [p101]: Change to italic

Comment [p102]: Change to lower case

Comment [p103]: It should be in italic

34. **Upadhyay, P.K., Singh, V.K., Rajanna, G.A., Dwivedi, B.S., Dey, A., Singh, R.K., Rathore, S.S., Shekhawat, K., Babu, S., Singh, T. and Kumar, Y., (2023).** Unveiling the combined effect of nano fertilizers and conventional fertilizers on crop productivity, profitability, and soil well-being. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, **7**:1260178.
35. **Velmurugan, A., Subramanil, T., Bommayasamy, N., Ramakrishna, M. K. and Swaranam, T. P. (2021).** The effect of foliar application of nano urea (liquid) on rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Andaman Sci. Assoc*, **26**:76-81.

UNDER PEER REVIEW