

# **Response of micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> foliar feeding on yield attributes of Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) fruit cv. Banarasi Karaka, India**

## **Abstract**

The present investigation was conducted on twenty-nine-year-old ber plants grown in sodic soil condition at Main Experimental Station, Department of Fruit Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology Kumarganj Ayodhya (U.P) during the year 2022-23 and 2023-24 to investigate the response of foliar application of micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> on yield attributes of ber. The experiment comprised of two foliar applications during September and November with five treatments on the cultivar Banarasi Karaka with four replication. The data was recorded and analysed by using Randomised block design. Under the investigation, ber plants were studied for the parameter of fruit weight, fruit length, fruit width, fruit specific gravity, yield. The maximum fruit weight (21.68 g), fruit length (4.48 cm), fruit width (3.48 cm), fruit specific gravity (0.982), yield (16.81 t/ha) was recorded in plants sprayed with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% + Borax 0.5% + GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm, which was significantly superior over all other treatments. It was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Borax 0.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5%) and T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) treatments. The maximum fruit weight (15.86 g), fruit length (3.63 cm), fruit width (2.40 cm), fruit specific gravity (0.973), yield (12.69 t/ha) was recorded in control.

**Keywords:** Ber, borax, ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, Banarasi Karaka

## **Introduction**

“Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) belongs to family Rhamnaceae, is one of the ancient and common fruit of India and China, being cultivated over 4000 years” (Mehra, 1967). “It is also known as Chinese date, Indian plum, Indian jujube or Chinese fig. Its tree is one of the hardy fruit crops right for cultivation mainly in arid and semiarid condition where most of the trees fail to grow due to lack of irrigation. Commercial cultivation usually extends up to 1000m above sea level. It is known as for its ability to withstand adverse condition, such as salinity, drought and water logging. It originated in central Asia which includes North-West India, Afghanistan, Tazakistan, Uzbekistan and China. India ranks first among the ber growing countries of the world with an area of 53000 ha and annual production of 580000 MT” (Anon, 2021). “The major ber growing states in India are Madhya Pradesh, Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Haryana, Rajasthan, Maharashtra, Assam, Gujarat, West Bengal, Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu. But, it is an ideal fruit for cultivation in the arid and semi-arid zones of Northern India” (Balet *al.*, 1982). “Its cultivation has received a great impetus as a

commercial crop in Punjab, Haryana, and Rajasthan because of its excellent yield and economic returns. The ber fruit is also associated with Shabari, an old woman, who believed to have tasted the ber fruits first, and then offered only the sweet and ripe ones to Lord Rama. The use of ber is found in Puranas, Vedas and other literature like KathakaSamhita, CharakSamhita, KautilayaArthasastra etc. In fact it was one of the prominent fruit on which the sage VedVyas, Author of Purana and Mahabharat made his abode amidst the ber tree and for the reason he was named “Badrayan” (a person living in a forest of ber tree). Some very old trees, about 450 years old (DukhBhanjanber, Illaichiber etc.) are still found growing in “Golden Temple”, Amritsar. Its fruit is richer than apple in respect of protein, phosphorous, calcium, carotene and vitamin C. It is popularly called as poor man’s apple due to its high nutritional quality such as higher protein (0.8g),  $\beta$ -carotene (70 IU), vitamin C (50-100 mg) contents as well as medicinal value (Rai and Gupta, 1994). Fresh 100 g fruit contains Moisture (81.6-83.0 g), Fat (0.07 g), Fiber (0.60 g), Carbohydrates (17.0 g), Ascorbic acid (66-110 mg/100 g), Total sugars (5.4-10.5%), Non reducing sugar (3.2-8.0%), Reducing sugar (1.4-6.2 g), Calcium (25.6 mg), Phosphorus (26.8 mg), Iron (0.76-1.8 mg), Ash (0.3-0.59 g), Carotene (0.021 mg), Thiamine (0.02-0.024 mg), Riboflavin (0.02-0.038 mg), Niacin (0.7-0.873 mg), Citric Acid (0.2-1.1 mg), Fluoride (0.1-0.2 ppm), Pectin (dry basis) 2.2-3.4 per cent” (Morton, 1987). “Jujube fruits have a spongy, sweet tasting pulp, and are an excellent source of ascorbic acid and carotenoids. Usually the fruits are eaten fresh but can also be used for making jam, pickles, candy or dehydrated products. The leaves are used as fodder for cattle and camels and to feed tassar silk-worms. The ber tree can serve as a host to lac insects, bark is used in tanning industry, wood is used for making charcoal etc. The seeds are sedative and are taken, sometimes with buttermilk, to halt nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pains in pregnancy. Plants can tolerate PH more than 9 and soil as well as water salinity to a limited extent” (Hooda *et al.*, 1990). “The flowering period lasts for about two and a half months from September to November. In north Indian condition ber flowers in the month of August-September while in West Bengal flowering occurs mainly in September-November in different varieties which produces heavy flowers in the axillary cymes on both mature and current season’s growths” (Teaotia and Chauhan, 1963). The fruit setting starts in the second week of October and continues up to the first fortnight of November. The fruit growth in terms of length and diameter follows a ‘double sigmoid’ curve. The flowers are borne on the current season’s growth in leaf axils; the inflorescence is cymose (Bal, 1984; Singh and Randhawa, 2001) and each cyme contains 15-28 flowers. “The fruit is berry with a single stone and the shape of the fruit may vary from round to oblong, ovate, oval and oblate

depending on the cultivars. It is a quick growing and early bearing fruit which yields a heavy crop every year. Moreover, the tree can tolerate hot and dry weather during May-June as the tree goes to dormant conditions which, in turn, reduce the total water requirements during the period of water scarcity especially in Rajasthan. Pruning is essential to maintain vigour, productivity, quality and size of fruits” (Singh *et al.*, 2004). High degree of immature fruits dropped during initial stage of fruit growth and development experiences all over India may be due to various factors like hormonal imbalance, abortion of embryo and inclement weather (Bal *et al.*, 1988), nutrition (Chauhan and Gupta, 1985), moisture stress (Ghosh and Tarai, 2007) and pathogen infestation (Reddy *et al.*, 1997) makes ber cultivation non-profitable. “Plant growth regulators and micro nutrients in minute quantities play an important role in enhancing growth and development of plants to influence yield and quality, affecting plant metabolism by bringing about a change in nutritional and hormonal status of the plant” (Gadi and Bohra, 2005). “Gibberellins are reported to increase fruit set, size, retention and yield as well as improve fruit physico-chemical characteristics and ripening” (Rizk-Alla *et al.*, 2011). “Micronutrients (B, Fe and Zn) also have a positive effect on ber fruit set, yield, fruit quality and storage-life” (Samant *et al.*, 2008). “Borax and zinc sulphate are known to play a crucial role in growth, development, quality and storage of fruits” (Jayachandran *et al.*, 2005; Singh *et al.*, 2007 and Rajput *et al.*, 2015).

## **Material and Methods**

The present investigation was carried out at Main Experimental Station, Horticulture, Department of Fruit Science; Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.) during 2022-23 and 2023-24 on 29 year old Ber plants which were planted at spacing 6x6 m. Geographically, It is situated at 26.47° North latitude, 82.12° East longitude and altitude of 113 meter from sea level. The site is located in typical saline alkaline belt of Gangetic plains of eastern Uttar Pradesh. Randomized Block Design with four replication was applied in experimental trial, with the allocation of five treatment combinations on varieties Banarasi Karaka. Treatments were T<sub>1</sub>-Control, T<sub>2</sub>-ZnSO<sub>4</sub>(0.5%), T<sub>3</sub>-Borax (0.5%), T<sub>4</sub>-GA<sub>3</sub> (10 ppm), T<sub>5</sub>-ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (0.5%) + Borax (0.5%) + GA<sub>3</sub> (10 ppm). Foliar spray was done twice in the month of September and November. The observations were recorded for fruit weight, fruit length, fruit width, fruit specific gravity, yield. The methodology adopted are following:

### **Fruit weight**

The weight of 5 fruits of each replication was taken by analytical balance and the average weight per fruit was calculated as under:

$$\text{Average weight of Fruit (g)} = \frac{\text{Total weight of fruits (g)}}{\text{Total Number of fruits}}$$

### **Fruit length**

The length of each of 5 fruits from each replication was measured in centimeters with the help of Vernier calipers of 0.1 mm least count capacity and the average length of fruit was calculated.

$$\text{Average length of Fruit (cm)} = \frac{\text{Total length of fruits (cm)}}{\text{Total Number of fruits}}$$

### **Fruit width**

The width of each of 5 fruits from each replication was measured in centimeters with the help of Vernier calipers and the average width of fruit was calculated using following formula:

$$\text{Average width of Fruit (cm)} = \frac{\text{Total width of fruits (cm)}}{\text{Total Number of fruits}}$$

### **Fruit specific gravity**

The specific gravity of the fruit was calculated based on the average weight and volume of fruit. The weight was divided by the volume and represented as specific gravity of fruit. The formula of specific gravity is given below:-

$$\text{Specific gravity} = \frac{[\text{weight of fruit (g)}] / [\text{volume of fruit (ml}^3\text{)}]}{1 \text{ g ml}^{-3} \text{ (water)}}$$

### **Yield**

The yield per tree was recorded, calculated and expressed as fruit yield t/ha.

## **Results and Discussion**

### **Fruit weight**

The data in Table 1 shows the effect of micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> treatments on fruit weight during both the years (2022-23 and 2023-24) of investigation. During 2022-23, the maximum (21.55g) fruit weight was recorded with foliar application of T<sub>5</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% + Borax 0.5% +

GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm), which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. This was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Borax 0.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5%) and T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) treatments with 19.70, 18.64, 17.50g fruit weight, respectively. However, the minimum (15.75g) fruit weight was recorded under control.

Similar trend was also noted in 2023-24 and the maximum (21.80g)fruit weight was observed in T<sub>5</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% + Borax 0.5% + GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. This was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Borax 0.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5%) and T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) treatments with 19.90, 18.85, 17.73g fruit weight, respectively. While the minimum (15.96g) fruit weight was observed in control.

**Table 1: Effect of foliar feeding of micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> on fruit weight of Ber cv. Banarasi Karaka**

Treatments	Fruit weight (g)		
	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub> - Control	15.75	15.96	15.86
T <sub>2</sub> - ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 0.5%	18.64	18.85	18.75
T <sub>3</sub> - Borax 0.5%	19.70	19.90	19.81
T <sub>4</sub> - GA <sub>3</sub> 10 ppm	17.50	17.73	17.62
T <sub>5</sub> - ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.5%) + Borax (0.5%) + GA <sub>3</sub> (10 ppm)	21.55	21.80	21.68
<b>SEm±</b>	<b>0.41</b>	<b>0.41</b>	<b>0.41</b>
<b>CD at 5%</b>	<b>1.27</b>	<b>1.27</b>	<b>1.27</b>

Pooled data reveals that all the treatments increased fruit weight significantly over the control. The maximum(21.68g) fruit weight was recorded in plants sprayed with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% + Borax 0.5% + GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm, which was significantly superior over all other treatments. It was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Borax 0.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5%) and T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) treatments with 19.81, 18.75, 17.62g fruit weight, respectively. The lowest (15.86g)fruit weight was recorded in control.

Increase in fruit weight with the spray of micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> might be due to faster loading and mobilization into fruits (Brahmachari *et al.*, 1997) and involvement in hormonal metabolism, increased cell division and expansion of cell. This may also be attributed to greater photosynthetic activity, resulting the increased production and accumulation of

carbohydrates and favorable effect on vegetative growth and retention of fruits, which might have increased size and weight.

The present findings regarding the increase in fruit weight with the application of micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> may be due to cell division and cell elongation. Similar findings were also noted by Tripathiet *al.*, (2009), Majumderet *al.*, (2017), Devi *et al.*, (2019), Gangadharet *al.*, (2019), Choudharyet *al.*, (2020), Laishram and Baruah (2020), Pal *et al.*, (2020), Chouhanet *al.*, (2022), Patel *et al.*, (2023) and Singh *et al.*, (2023).

### **Fruit length**

A perusal of Table 2 shows significant influence of micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> treatment on fruit length during both the years of investigation. During 2022-23, the maximum (4.46cm) fruit length was noted with the foliar application of T<sub>5</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% + Borax 0.5% + GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm), which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. This was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Borax 0.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5%) and T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) treatments with 4.35, 4.24, 4.01cm fruit length, respectively. However, the minimum (3.61cm) fruit length was recorded under control.

During 2023-24, similar trend was observed and the maximum (4.50cm) fruit length was recorded in T<sub>5</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% + Borax 0.5% + GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. This was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Borax 0.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5%) and T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) treatments with 4.40, 4.28, 4.04 cm fruit length, respectively. While the minimum (3.64cm) fruit length was observed in control.

Pooled data in Table 2 shows that all the treatments increased fruit length significantly over the control. The maximum (4.48cm) fruit length was recorded in plants sprayed with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% + Borax 0.5% + GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm, which was significantly superior over all other treatments. It was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Borax 0.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5%) and T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) treatments with 4.38, 4.26, 4.03 cm fruit length, respectively. The lowest (3.63cm) fruit length was recorded in control.

**Table 2: Effect of foliar feeding of micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> on fruit length of Ber cv. Banarasi Karaka**

Treatments	Fruit length (cm)		
	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub> - Control	3.61	3.64	3.63

T <sub>2</sub> - ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 0.5%	4.24	4.28	4.26
T <sub>3</sub> - Borax 0.5%	4.35	4.40	4.38
T <sub>4</sub> - GA <sub>3</sub> 10 ppm	4.01	4.04	4.03
T <sub>5</sub> - ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.5%) + Borax (0.5%) + GA <sub>3</sub> (10 ppm)	4.46	4.50	4.48
<b>SEm±</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>0.01</b>
<b>CD at 5%</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>0.04</b>

The increase in fruit length can be attributed to the involvement of micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> in cell division, cell expansion and increased volume of inter-cellular spaces in the mesocarpic cells. In conformity to our finding, Tripathiet *al.*, (2009), Majumderet *al.*, (2017), Devi *et al.*, (2019), Gangadharet *al.*, (2019), Laishram and Baruah (2020), Pal *et al.*, (2020), Chouhanet *al.*, (2022), Patel *et al.*, (2023), Priyaet *al.*, (2023) andSingh *et al.*, (2023) also observed that micronutrients and plant growth regulators played significant role in fruit growth of ber.

#### **Fruit width**

Effect of micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> treatments on fruit widthrevealed that all the treatments proved effective in increasing fruit width over control (Table 3). During 2022-23, the maximum (3.45cm)fruit width was recorded with foliar application of T<sub>5</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% + Borax 0.5% + GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm), which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. This was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Borax 0.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5%) and T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) treatments with 3.17, 2.91, 2.86 cm fruit width,respectively. However, the minimum (2.38cm) fruit widthwas recorded under control.

Similar trend was also noted in 2023-24 and the maximum (3.50cm)fruit width was observed in T<sub>5</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% + Borax 0.5% + GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. This was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Borax 0.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5%) and T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) treatments with 3.21, 2.97, 2.89 cm fruit width,respectively. While the minimum (2.41cm) fruit widthwas observed in control.

Pooled data reveals that all the treatments increased fruit widthsignificantly over the control. The maximum (3.48cm)fruit width was recorded in plants sprayed with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% + Borax 0.5% + GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm, which was significantly superior over all other treatments. It was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Borax 0.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5%) and T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) treatments with 3.19, 2.94, 2.88 cm fruit width,respectively. The lowest (2.40cm)fruit width was recorded in control.

**Table 3: Effect of foliar feeding of micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> on fruit width of Ber cv. Banarasi Karaka**

Treatments	Fruit width (cm)		
	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub> - Control	2.38	2.41	2.40
T <sub>2</sub> - ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 0.5%	2.91	2.97	2.94
T <sub>3</sub> - Borax 0.5%	3.17	3.21	3.19
T <sub>4</sub> - GA <sub>3</sub> 10 ppm	2.86	2.89	2.88
T <sub>5</sub> - ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.5%) + Borax (0.5%) + GA <sub>3</sub> (10 ppm)	3.45	3.50	3.48
<b>SEm±</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>0.06</b>
<b>CD at 5%</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>0.17</b>

The increase in size of fruit (length and width) over control as a result of foliar application of micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> in present investigation might be due to their involvement in cell division, cell elongation, increased volume of intercellular spaces in the mesocarpic cells (Brahmachari *et al.*, 1997). These results are in line with the findings of Tripathi *et al.* (2009), Majumder *et al.*, (2017), Devi *et al.*, (2019), Gangadhari *et al.*, (2019), Choudhary *et al.*, (2020), Laishram and Baruah (2020), Pal *et al.*, (2020), Chouhan *et al.*, (2022), Patel *et al.*, (2023), Priya *et al.*, (2023), Singh *et al.*, (2023).

### **Fruit specific gravity**

The data has been presented in Table 4, which reveals the effect of micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> on fruit specific gravity during both the years (2022-23 and 2023-24) of study. During 1<sup>st</sup> year (2022-23), the maximum (0.980) fruit specific gravity was noted with the foliar application of T<sub>5</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% + Borax 0.5% + GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm), which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. This was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Borax 0.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5%) and T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) treatments with 0.978, 0.977, 0.975 fruit specific gravity, respectively. However, the minimum (0.971) fruit specific gravity was recorded under control.

Similarly, in 2<sup>nd</sup> year (2023-24), the maximum (0.983) fruit specific gravity was recorded with T<sub>5</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% + Borax 0.5% + GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm), which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. It was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Borax 0.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5%) and T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) treatments with 0.981, 0.980, 0.978 fruit specific gravity respectively. However, the lowest (0.974) fruit specific gravity was noted under control.

The pooled data presented in Table 4 reveals that the significantly higher(0.982) fruit specific gravity in comparison to all other treatments was observed in plants sprayed with T<sub>5</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% + Borax 0.5% + GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) which was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Borax 0.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5%) and T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) treatments with 0.980, 0.979, 0.977 fruit specific gravity respectively. The minimum (0.973)fruit specific gravity was observed in control.

**Table 4: Effect of foliar feeding of micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> on fruit specific gravity of Ber cv. Banarasi Karaka**

Treatments	Fruit specific gravity		
	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub> - Control	0.971	0.974	0.973
T <sub>2</sub> - ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 0.5%	0.977	0.980	0.979
T <sub>3</sub> - Borax 0.5%	0.978	0.981	0.980
T <sub>4</sub> - GA <sub>3</sub> 10 ppm	0.975	0.978	0.977
T <sub>5</sub> - ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.5%) + Borax (0.5%) + GA <sub>3</sub> (10 ppm)	0.980	0.983	0.982
<b>SEm±</b>	<b>0.001</b>	<b>0.001</b>	<b>0.001</b>
<b>CD at 5%</b>	<b>0.002</b>	<b>0.002</b>	<b>0.002</b>

The variation in specific gravity in different treatments might have been due to the change in fruit weight and volume, which were the functions of specific gravity. The specific gravity is generally correlated with composition of tissue such as starch content, dry matter, juice content and total sugars. Similar findings were also reported by Majumder *et al.*, (2017), Gangadhare *et al.*, (2019), Palet *et al.*, (2020) in ber and Kumar *et al.*, (2019) in guava.

### **Yield**

The data in Table 5 shows the significant effect of micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> treatments on yield during both the years (2022-23 and 2023-24) of investigation. During 2022-23, the maximum (16.64t/ha) yield was recorded with foliar application of T<sub>5</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% + Borax 0.5% + GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm), which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. This was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Borax 0.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5%) and T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) treatments with 15.95, 15.28, 14.42 t/ha yield, respectively. However, the minimum (12.54) yield (t/ha) was recorded under control.

Similar trend was also noted in 2023-24 and the maximum(16.96t/ha) yield was observed in T<sub>5</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% + Borax 0.5% + GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. This was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Borax 0.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5%) and T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 10

ppm) treatments with 16.26, 15.58, 14.72 t/ha yield, respectively. While the minimum (12.83t/ha) yield was observed in control.

Pooled data reveals that all the treatments increased yield significantly over the control. The maximum (16.81t/ha) yield was recorded in plants sprayed with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% + Borax 0.5% + GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm, which was significantly superior over all other treatments. It was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Borax 0.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5%) and T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm) treatments with 16.11, 15.44, 14.58 t/ha yield, respectively. The lowest (12.69t/ha) yield was recorded in control.

“The increase in the fruit yield with the foliar application of nutrients may be attributed to increase fruit size, fruit weight and minimum fruit drop. In addition, more cell division, cell elongation and translocation of photosynthates and metabolites from leaves to the developing fruit which resulted in higher fruit yield”. [44] The highest fruit yield recorded by foliar spray of micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub>, may be attributed to better uptake and mobilization of nutrients to sink leading to better fruit development. These findings are also supported by the results of Yadav *et al.*, (2014), Kanpure *et al.*, (2016), Senet *et al.*, (2016), Majumder *et al.*, (2017), Devi *et al.*, (2019), Gangadhare *et al.*, (2019), Choudhary *et al.*, (2020), Laishram and Baruah (2020), Pal *et al.*, (2020), Pal *et al.*, (2021), Yadav *et al.*, (2021), Bisen (2022) and Patel *et al.*, (2023).

**Table 5: Effect of foliar feeding of micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> on fruit yield of Ber cv. Banarasi Karaka**

Treatments	Yield (t/ha)		
	2022-23	2023-24	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub> - Control	12.54	12.83	12.69
T <sub>2</sub> - ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 0.5%	15.28	15.58	15.44
T <sub>3</sub> - Borax 0.5%	15.95	16.26	16.11
T <sub>4</sub> - GA <sub>3</sub> 10 ppm	14.42	14.72	14.58
T <sub>5</sub> - ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.5%) + Borax (0.5%) + GA <sub>3</sub> (10 ppm)	16.64	16.96	16.81
<b>SEm±</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>0.13</b>
<b>CD at 5%</b>	<b>0.40</b>	<b>0.41</b>	<b>0.39</b>

### Conclusion

Based on the results, It may be concluded from the results obtained in present investigation that the treatment of ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% + Borax 0.5% + GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm was found to be most effective to improve fruit weight, fruit length, fruit width, fruit specific gravity, yield. Therefore, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (0.5%) + Borax (0.5%) + GA<sub>3</sub> (10 ppm) can be recommended to

maximise fruit weight, fruit length, fruit width, fruit specific gravity, yield of ber fruit in the Indo-Gangetic plains of eastern Uttar Pradesh.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

### **Reference**

1. Anonymous, (2021). Horticultural Statistics at a Glance. Horticulture Statistics Division, MOA&FW, Government of India, New Delhi.
2. Bal, J.S.; Singh, S.N.; Randhawa, J.S. and Sharma, S.C. (1982). Effect of naphthalene acetic acid and trichlorophenoxy acetic acid on fruit drop, size and quality of ber. *Progressive Horticulture*, 14(2-3):148-151.
3. Bal, J.S. 1984. Horticulture Bullatin, 26:501-502.
4. Bal, J.S.; Randhawa, J.S. and Singh, S.N. (1988). Effect of NAA on fruit characters and quality of ber cv. Umran. *Haryana Journal Horticultural Sciences*, 17:20-23.
5. Bisen, A. (2022). Response of growth promoting substances on flowering, fruiting and yield behavior of ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk) cv. Apple ber. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 11(11):2161-2167.

6. Brahmachari, V.S.; Yadav, G.S. and Kumar, N. (1997). Effect of foliar feeding of calcium, zinc, and boron on yield and quality attributes of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn). *Orissa Journal of Horticulture*, 25(1):49-52.
7. Choudhary, R.B.; Bairwa, L.N.; Garhwal, O.P. and Negi, P. (2020). Effect of plant growth regulators and nutrients on yield attributing characters and yield of ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Gola. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 9(4):1968-1972.
8. Chouhan, N.K.; Gautam, R.K.S.; Pratap, R.; Gangwar, V.; Veersain and Sonkar, S. (2022). Effect of Foliar Application of GA<sub>3</sub>, NAA and Urea on Fruit Growth, Retention and Drop in Ber under Kanpur Condition. *Biological Forum-An International Journal*, 14(4): 1040-1043.
9. Chauhan, K.S. and Gupta, A.K. (1985). Effect of foliar application of urea on the fruit drop physico-chemical composition of ber fruits under arid conditions. *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences*, 14:9-11.
10. Devi, P.; Gautam, R.K.S.; Singh, J.; Maurya, S.K. and Chaudhary, A. (2019). Effect of Foliar Application of NAA, GA<sub>3</sub> and Zinc Sulphate on Fruit Drop, Growth and Yield of Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) c.v. Banarasi Karaka. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 8(1):1679-1683.
11. Gadi, B.R. and Bohra, S.P. (2005). Effect of plant growth regulators on photosynthesis and some biochemical parameters in ber cv. Gola. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 62(3):296-297.
12. Gangadhar; Chaurasiya, R.; Sharma, A.; Tiwari, S.; Goyal, G.; Bhadauria, A.S.; Singh, A.P. and Yadav, A. (2019). Influence of Foliar Application of GA<sub>3</sub> with and without NAA on Fruit Drop, Growth, Yield and Quality of Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) c.v. Banarasi Karaka. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 8:45-56.
13. Ghosh, S.N. and Tarai, R.K. (2007). Effect of mulching on soil moisture, yield and quality of ber. *Indian Journal of Soil Conservation*, 35:246-248.
14. Hooda, P.; Sandhu, A.S.; Mehta, P.K. and Ahlawat, V.P. (1990). Growth yield and quality of Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) as affected by soil salinity. *Journal of Horticulture Science*, 65:589-593.
15. Jayachandran, K.S.; Srihari, D. and Reddy, Y.N. (2005). Pre-harvest sprays of different sources of calcium to improve the shelf-life of guava. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 62:68-70.

16. Kanpure, N. R.; Sen, P.; Kachouli, B.; Anjanawe, S.R. and Haldar, A. (2016). Effect of nitrogen and micronutrients on growth and yield of Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Gola under Malwa Plateau of Madhya Pradesh. *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, 8(58):3260-3262.
17. Kumar, V.; Ram, R.B.; Verma, R.S.; Yadav, A. and Saroj, N.L. (2019). Effect of foliar application of micronutrients and plant growth regulators on yield and physical characteristics of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Allahabad Safeda. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(1):2715-2716.
18. Laishram, O. and Baruah, D.K. (2020). Foliar nutrition of zinc on growth and development of ber, cv. Thailand apple. *IOSR-Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 13(8):16-25.
19. Majumder, I.; Sau, S.; Ghosh, B.; Kundu, S.; Roy, D. and Sarkar, S. (2017). Response of growth regulators and micronutrients on yield and physico-chemical quality of Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk) cv. BAU Kul-1. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 9(4):2404- 2409.
20. Mehra, K.L. (1967). History of the jujube in ancient. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 24:37-47.
21. Morton, J. (1987). Fruits of warm climates. Julia F. Morton, Miami, FL. pp:272-275.
22. Pal, R.; Kumar, A. and Kumar, A. 2020. Studies on Effect of Foliar Feeding on Fruiting and Yield of Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) under Sodic Soil. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 9(12):2991-2994.
23. Pal, R.; Kumar, A. and Kumar, A. (2021). Effect of foliar feeding on chemical attributes of Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) under Sodic soil. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 9(1):1558-1560.
24. Patel, B.; Kumar, V.; Srivastava, A.K.; Singh, S.C.; Prakash, O. and Chugh, V. (2023). Effect of plant growth regulator and nutrients on chemical composition and yield of Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Thai Apple under Bundelkhand region of Uttar Pradesh. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 12(3):1560-1564.
25. Priya, S.; Dwivedi, A.K.; Tripathi, V.K. and Verma, S. (2023). Effect of foliar application of various concentrations of NAA and GA<sub>3</sub> on fruiting, yield and quality attributes of Ber cv. Banarasi Karaka. *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, 42(48):43-51.
26. Rai, M. and Gupta, P.N. (1994). Genetic diversity in ber. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 39:42-47.

27. Rajput, A.; Tiwari, R.; Pandey, A. and Somvanshi, S.P.S. (2015). Effect of pre-harvest application of Ca, Zn and B on prolonged storability of Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.). *Research in Environment and Life Sciences*, 8:771-772.
28. Reddy, M.M.; Reddy, G.S. and Madhusudan, T. (1997). Evaluation of some Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) varieties and fungicides against powdery mildew. *Journal of Research ANGRAU*, 25:19-26.
29. Rizk-Alla, M.S.; Abd El-Wahab, M.A. and Fekry, O.M. (2011). Application of GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA as a means for improving yield, fruit quality and storability of Black Monukka Grape. *Natural of Science*, 9(1):1-19.
30. Samant, D.; Mishra, N.K.; Singh, A.K. and Lal, R.L. (2008). Effect of micronutrient sprays on fruit yield and quality during storage in ber cv. Umran under ambient conditions. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 65(4):399-404.
31. Sen, P.; Kanpure, R.N.; Kachouli, B.; Anjanawe, S.R. And Haldar, A. (2016). Effect of nitrogen and micronutrients on growth and yield of ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Gola under Malwa Plateau of Madhya Pradesh. *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, 8(56):3260-3262.
32. Singh, S.; Srivastava, V.S. and Singh, P. (2004). Training/pruning. In: Singh, S (ed.) *Adv Citri Kalyani Publisher, Karnataka, India*, pp:206-219.
33. Singh, K. and Randhawa, J. S. (2001). Effect of growth regulators and fungicides on fruit drop, yield and quality of fruit in ber cv. Umran. *Journal of Research, Punjab Agricultural University*, 38 (3/4):181-185.
34. Singh, R.; Chaturvedi, O.P.; Gaur, G.S. and Singh, G. (2007). Effect of preharvest spray of zinc, calcium and boron on the storage behaviour of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) fruits cv. Allahabad safeda. *Acta Horticulturae*, 735:633-638.
35. Singh, R.; Pathak, S.; Pandey, L. and Kumar, A. (2023). Effect of Plant Growth Regulators and Micro Nutrient on Quality of Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Gola. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 35(18):909-916.
36. Teatota, S.S. and Chauhan, R.S. (1963). Flowering, pollination, fruit set and fruit drop studies in ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.). *Punjab Horticultural Journal*, 3:60-70.
37. Tripathi, D.; Pandey, A.K.; Pal, A.K.; Yadav, M.P. (2009). Studies on Effect of Plant Growth Regulators on Fruit Drop, Development, Quality and Yield of Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Banarasi Karaka. *Progressive Horticulture*, 41(2):184-186.

38. Yadav, S.; Singh, J.P.; Gupta, S. and Yadav, J.S. (2021). A Study on Foliar Feeding of GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA on Fruit Drop, Retention, Yield and Quality of Ber Fruit (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. "Banarasi Karaka". *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, 13(3):608-612.
39. Yadav, V.; Yadav, P. and Katiyar, P.N. (2014). Effect of Pre-harvest Spray of NAA, GA<sub>3</sub> and Urea on Fruit Drop and Yield of Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv Banarasi Karaka. *Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 5(3): 597-598.
40. Mishra, Shivani, R. K. Bisen, S. K. Verma, H. P. Agrawal, N. K. Chaure, P. K. Keshry, Anil Kumar, and Vikash Vaibhav. 2023. "Assessment of Foliar Application of Micronutrients on Yield Attributes and Yield of Brinjal (*Solanum Melongena* L.)". *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 35 (22):41-45. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2023/v35i224112>.
41. Afrin, Sadia, Nazrul Islam, Sika Mustaki, Tafsir Araf, and Shormin Choudhury. 2024. "Impact of Micronutrients and Plant Growth Regulators on Brinjal (*Solanum Melongena* L.) Growth, Yield and Quality". *Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 10 (2):72-79. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajsspn/2024/v10i2262>.
42. Roosta HR, Hamidpour M. Effects of foliar application of some macro-and micro-nutrients on tomato plants in aquaponic and hydroponic systems. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2011 Jun 27;129(3):396-402.
43. Deshpande P, Dapkekar A, Oak MD, Paknikar KM, Rajwade JM. Zinc complexed chitosan/TPP nanoparticles: A promising micronutrient nanocarrier suited for foliar application. *Carbohydrate polymers*. 2017 Jun 1;165:394-401.
44. Manoj Gaund, Dr. D Ram, Anand Singh Rawat and Ajendra Kumar. Response of foliar application of micronutrients and plant growth regulator on yield and economic feasibility of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) CV. Shweta and Lalit. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 2022; 11(3): 1752-1756

