

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_119162
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of micronutrients and GA3 foliar feeding on yield attributes of Ber (Ziziphus mauritiana Lamk.) fruit cv. Banarasi Karaka
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The research is good but still needs improvement</p> <p>2.The title is suitable</p> <p>3. Abstracts need to be equipped with research objectives and implications of research results</p> <p>4.Its okey</p> <p>5. The manuscript does not have enough information about problem solving, the reasons why this research needs to be carried out, the materials and methods are incomplete, the data obtained was not analyzed, in the methodology it is stated that the design is a block design but the data presented does not show that there was data processing based on the design.</p> <p>6.There are many references that are no longer up to date, preferably less than 10 years old</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Its Okey	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The manuscript, need to be completed with objective the research, methodology more detail, pembahasan diperbaiki dengna science	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endang Gati Lestari
Department, University & Country	Indonesia