

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_118710</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Standardization of propagation techniques in Annual Moringa (Moringa oleifera Lam.)for enhancing crop uniformity</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript on the standardization of propagation techniques in Annual Moringa (<i>Moringa oleifera</i> Lam.) focuses on optimizing methods to improve the uniformity of the crop. By establishing standardized propagation protocols, the research aims to enhance the consistency and quality of Moringa plants, which is crucial for maximizing agricultural productivity and ensuring reliable yield. Techniques such as seed treatment, cutting propagation, and optimal growth conditions are investigated to identify the best practices for uniform plant development. This standardization is expected to support better crop management, facilitate large-scale cultivation, and contribute to the sustainable agricultural production of <i>Moringa oleifera</i>.)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, it is absolutely comprehensive</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes, but with minor corrections.</p>	<p>Yes, but with minor corrections.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. All tables should be inserted where appropriate based on the results, subsections and Title of the Table.</p> <p>2. Statistical Tool and package were not mentioned for data analysis; please this is very important because it helps in knowing the mean differences among variables. The authors should take note, please.</p> <p>3. Tables should be well structured.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Adamson Daniel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nigeria</b>