

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_118900
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Inorganic Fertilizers and Organic Manures on Growth and Yield Parameters under Wheat-Maize Cropping Sequence Grown on Normal and Saline-Sodic Inceptisol
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Important to the scientific community, as it sheds light on the importance of soil nutrients and organic matter to soil and crop productivity under saline-sodic conditions.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is correct, but there is a suggestion that " Effect of Inorganic Fertilizers and Organic Manures on Growth and Yield of Wheat-Maize Cropping Sequence Grown on Saline-Sodic Inceptisol"</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive, but there are some suggestions for improvement</p> <p>4. Subsections and script structure are appropriate</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct, but needs to be improved in accordance with the suggestions given.</p> <p>6. The references provided are inadequate and not up-to-date and few. Therefore, I suggest updating the last 5 years and also improving the data interpretation and discussion of the research data.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The English quality of the article is suitable for scientific communication, but it is recommended that it be proofread by a language institute.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is good, but needs to be improved according to the suggestions that have been given.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amsar Maulana
Department, University & Country	Universitas Andalas, Indonesia