

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_118879
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Different Nutrient Management Practices on Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil and Economics of Soybean under Kymore Plateau and Satpura Hills Agro-Climatic Zone
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses the impact of various nutrient management practices on the physico-chemical properties of soil and the economic viability of soybean cultivation.</p> <p>2- The title is suitable as it clearly reflects the content and scope of the study.</p> <p>3- The abstract is comprehensive as it summarizes the key aspects of the study, including the research location, experimental design and major findings, and conclusion.</p> <p>4- The manuscript is well-structured with clearly defined sections. The subsections within these main sections are appropriate and help in understanding the detailed aspects of the research.</p> <p>5- The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct. The data analysis methods are clearly described, and the results are supported by statistical evidence. The conclusions drawn are consistent with the presented data and existing literature.</p> <p>6- The references are sufficient and recent, though a few more recent studies could enhance the manuscript.</p> <p>Discuss how the results can be applied in real-world agricultural practices to improve sustainability and profitability.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are minor grammatical errors and sentence constructions that should be addressed. Ensure that each paragraph clearly conveys a single idea or set of related ideas.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Minor grammatical improvements and clarity enhancements must be made.</p> <p>This corresponds to a recommendation of Minor Revision. The manuscript requires minor revisions to enhance clarity, grammatical improvements and add more recent references. Once these revisions are made, the manuscript will be well-suited for publication.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Yetgin
Department, University & Country	Cukurova University, Turkey