

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_118359
Title of the Manuscript:	RESPONSE OF ORGANIC SEED PRIMING ON THE GROWTH AND YIELD OF RAGI
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1-The manuscript presents valuable research on the impact of organic seed priming with Custard leaf extract on the growth and yield of ragi. This study is important for the scientific community as it contributes to sustainable agricultural practices and offers insights into enhancing crop yields using organic methods. The findings could be particularly significant for regions where ragi is a staple food and for farmers seeking eco-friendly cultivation techniques.</p> <p>2. The current title, "RESPONSE OF ORGANIC SEED PRIMING ON THE GROWTH AND YIELD OF RAGI," is descriptive and directly reflects the content of the manuscript. However, if a more concise title is preferred, it could be revised to: "Enhancing Ragi Yield: The Effect of Organic Seed Priming."</p> <p>3. In abstract, the text could be more engaging if it included an introduction to the importance of the study and a brief conclusion summarizing the significance of the results.</p> <p>4. The writing quality needs significant improvement to meet publication standards. The text could benefit from proofreading to correct minor grammatical errors (e.g., "were" should be "was" in the first sentence). The text, requires thorough editing, proofreading, and formatting to ensure it is clear, concise, and adheres to the standards expected in scientific literature.</p> <p>5- the sections suggest that the research follows scientific methods and presents data-supported findings. Ensuring that the methodology is replicable and the results are presented accurately is key to maintaining scientific integrity.</p> <p>Few references are used in the article. More sources and references should be used to improve the quality of the article</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The writing quality needs significant improvement to meet publication standards.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The writing quality needs significant improvement to meet publication standards.</p> <p>The text could benefit from proofreading to correct minor grammatical errors (e.g., "were" should be "was" in the first sentence). The text, requires thorough editing, proofreading, and formatting to ensure it is clear, concise, and adheres to the standards expected in scientific literature.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hakimeh Oloumi
Department, University & Country	Graduate University of Advanced Technology, Iran