

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_119145
Title of the Manuscript:	WATER QUALITY RESPONSE TO ANTHROPOGENIC ACTIVITIES IN MOLO RIVER, LAKE BARINGO BASIN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> This research article is useful for scientific community. The article has scientifically measured water quality parameters; which is part of hydrochemistry. The article is analyzed by using high levels statistics. It describes multivariate analysis of variance used for showing the significant differences in water quality parameters. The title of the article is suitable and clearly shows the content of the study. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. Cover all the needful points. Subsection and structure of the manuscript should be some corrections. The manuscript is written scientifically. References are sufficient and recent. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The content of the article is scientific, effective and clearly written. The argument of the article has also been developed scientifically. For further improvement of the research article a through proofreading and correction should be done. Grammatical mistake should be avoided to enhance the quality of the research article. The research article should be written in present perfect tense, while in this article, in various places past tense has been used.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The research article entitled "WATER QUALITY RESPONSE TO ANTHROPOGENIC ACTIVITIES IN MOLO RIVER, LAKE BARINGO BASIN" is thoroughly reviewed following observations are found as review report.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The objective of the research article should be written in separate section to develop clarity and importance of the paper. The study area section should not be the integral part of material and methods section. The study area section should be discuss separately. In the study area section, the latitude and longitude should be written in the following manners: The Molo River is located between 0°11.99232' S to 0°15.530' S latitude and 35°44.044' E to 35°51.846' E longitude. The discussion section of the research article should be divided in to sub-sections/sub-points. It will enhance the clarity of the content. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanjib Pramanik
Department, University & Country	Durga College, India