

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_119145
Title of the Manuscript:	WATER QUALITY RESPONSE TO ANTHROPOGENIC ACTIVITIES IN MOLO RIVER, LAKE BARINGO BASIN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. It is important as it reflects whether the Quality of Water is fit for Human consumption or not.</p> <p><b>No. Not Appropriate. Alternative Title: "Water Quality Dynamics in Molo River: Consequences of Anthropogenic Pressures in the Lake Baringo Basin" or "Anthropogenic Influences on Water Quality in the Molo River of Lake Baringo Basin"</b></p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Recent references to be included and no of references to be limited to 10 or 12.</p> <p>The following observations are there:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The time gap between collection of the Water samples and analysis not mentioned.</li> <li>2. The storage of the samples with Sulphuric Acid is not a standard method. Refrigeration of the water samples is a standard practice.</li> <li>3. The standard protocol used for the analysis of the parameters not mentioned. Reference also not mentioned.</li> <li>4. Standard Abbreviations for Chemical formulae not used. For eg., (NH<sub>4</sub>-N), should be NH<sub>4</sub>-N.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Quality is good but standard protocols used for analysis are missing. Reference Standard values for acceptable limits not mentioned.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Arminder Kaur</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sanskriti University, India</b>