

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_119136
Title of the Manuscript:	Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) Characterization of protein fractions in ten peanut bunch type varieties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. Protein fraction analysis in peanut grown (ten varieties) in India using SDS-PAGE of four fractions, including albumins globulins, glutelin and prolamin provides new and advantageous information - 2. This title is more appropriate. "Characterization of protein fractions in ten peanut bunch type varieties" - 3. yes - 4. Yes - 5. Yes - 6. Sufficient yes, recent yes. <p>Apart from above mentioned 6 points, tables 2, 3, 4 and 5 must be presented in the text.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	It is imperative that the authors take into account the remarks and corrections mentioned in the manuscript presented in revision mode	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kouame Adam Camille
Department, University & Country	National Centre for Agricultural Research, Cote d'Ivoire