

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_119258
Title of the Manuscript:	CHARACTERISTICS OF PETIS VANNAMEI SHRIMP (<i>Litopenaeus vannamei</i>) WASTE WITH THE ADDITION OF KLUWAK FRUIT (<i>Pangium edule</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It is interesting and important</p> <p>No. The title has to be changed. The title "vannamei shrimp – white leg shrimp" needs revision for better clarity and understanding.</p> <p>The following points need revision in Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The term "skin" is incorrect for crustaceans. • Specify the ratio of waste to fruit for better clarity. • Provide justification for the selection of kluwak fruit. • Mention the exposure or treatment duration. <p>Clarify the term "best hedonic scale organoleptic value" as it seems unrelated to protein and moisture content</p> <p>Yes, But required revision</p> <p>50% yes</p> <p>More citations are required to support the aim and results. That I have mentioned in the MS</p> <p>Review Report Thank you for the opportunity to review this article, which explores the impact of kluwak fruit addition on shrimp waste utilization. While the article is intriguing, several aspects need improvement or inclusion. I have highlighted my comments within the manuscript using the track change option.</p> <p>Title The title "vannamei shrimp – white leg shrimp" needs revision for better clarity and understanding.</p> <p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The term "skin" is incorrect for crustaceans. • Specify the ratio of waste to fruit for better clarity. • Provide justification for the selection of kluwak fruit. • Mention the exposure or treatment duration. • Clarify the term "best hedonic scale organoleptic value" as it seems unrelated to protein and moisture content. <p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarify whether the 31.58% protein refers to shrimp waste or the edible part of the shrimp. This value is repeated in the abstract and introduction. • Proper justification for the need of this research is lacking <p>Methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clearly state the ratio of shrimp waste to fruit. • Include a section on statistical analysis. <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The discussion section lacks strength and depth. • Include error bars in Figures 1 and 2 and remove the specific values. • Remove the specific values from Figure 3. 	

Review Form 1.7

	Conclusion: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The conclusion lacks references.• Improve the language for clarity and coherence. Based on the above comments, I recommend a major revision of this article.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need improvement	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Krishnakumar V
Department, University & Country	Christ University, India