

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_118917
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparing the prevalence of food, water borne. parenterally acquired viral hepatitis in the tribal, non-tribal north east Indian population and its prevalence pre-COVID and during COVID
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community insofar as it has enabled us to determine the effects of COVID on the prevalence of patients suffering from hepatitis.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>Subsections and structure of manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct, apart from a few minor imperfections that the author will correct to perfect it.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent</p> <p>- All references must be presented in the same way Example: Kumari, A., Raza, A., Khan, H. M., & Sami, H. (2021). Prevalence of Hepatitis A in and around the Aligarh region. <i>Journal of Patient Safety and Infection Control</i>, 9(3), 83-86.</p> <p>-The informations of the references 5 and 13 are not complete</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language of the article is suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>- In my opinion, the introduction is too long and I also don't think it was necessary to list all the tribes in the 8 study areas</p> <p>- review the section on: Type of hepatitis patients observed in the results and discussion chapter You wrote: ALF tribal patients accounted for 40/1394 (3%) and ALF tribal patients accounted for 28/1394 (2%) I think there are typos in this section</p> <p>Still in the results section: Cultural practices, the results were not expressed as a percentage.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kouakou Akissi Viviane
Department, University & Country	Institut Pasteur de Côte d'Ivoire, Côte d'Ivoire