

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_119235
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimizing Software Development Processes in Cloud Computing Environments Using Agile Methodologies and DevOps Practices
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it is</p> <p>Yes, it is</p> <p>Yes, it is</p> <p>In fact the structure need to work more on it by organizing the subtitles in general section like literature review and research methodology as example</p> <p>Yes I do Yes, they are</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the language is good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There are some issues that need to take into account to increase the quality of the this journal</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Used information in the article but did not referee to the references that used In literature review section is good to write in paragraphs in detail then summarize the data in table as you did Research methodology section is missing it is better to talk about how you get the results in this section, You did this part as subtitle as in 5 Even in figures you used them and did not mentioned what is the references In general the structure of the paper need to be improved to make easy to follow it 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samia Abdalhamid
Department, University & Country	Omur Almukhtar University, Libya