

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_119235
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimizing Software Development Processes in Cloud Computing Environments Using Agile Methodologies and DevOps Practices
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The paper contains the results of trials on optimizing the Software Development Process in a Cloud Computing Environment Using Agile Methodology and DevOps Practices with a fairly good scientific writing structure/rules (complete with title, abstract, introduction, literature review, methodology, discussion, results, conclusions, and future work), even though the level of novelty is not yet optimal. The paper has also used the latest literature/references.</p> <p>Special Note :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The arguments underlying this research need to be written more deeply and substantively in the introduction The literature review is written in more detail, especially in the GAP section In the method section, it would be better if it was written in a concrete and argumentative manner used in writing this paper It needs to be added to the results/discussion section regarding the results of software development optimization tests discussed in the paper The citation writing needs to be checked again so that it matches the existing references 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The paper is written in fairly good English</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ginanjari Wiro Sasmito
Department, University & Country	Politeknik Harapan Bersama, Indonesia