

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCOS_118629</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>CORPUS-BASED APPROACHES FOR SENTIMENT ANALYSIS: A REVIEW</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short-Review</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Additional suggestions/comments:</p> <p>Pros:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Comprehensive Coverage: The article covers diverse elements of sentiment analysis, such as methodologies, evaluation metrics, packages throughout one-of-a-kind fields, and future instructions.</li> <li>2. Clear Structure: The paper follows a clean and based format, making it clean for readers to observe the discussion from advent to conclusion.</li> <li>3. In-intensity Analysis: It provides a detailed analysis of various sentiment evaluation processes, their strengths, weaknesses, and comparative performance.</li> <li>4. Case Studies and Experimental Results: Including case research and experimental outcomes provides depth to the evaluation and presents realistic insights into the application of sentiment evaluation strategies.</li> </ol> <p>Cons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Possible Redundancy: Some sections, together with the advent and end, may contain redundant facts. Streamlining these sections ought to enhance the clarity and conciseness of the paper.</li> <li>2. Lack of Original Research: While the evaluate synthesizes current literature correctly, it doesn't appear to present any unique research findings. Incorporating new insights or views may want to decorate the cost of the paper.</li> <li>3. Limited Discussion on Ethical Considerations: Sentiment analysis, mainly in regions like social media tracking and political analysis, increases moral worries associated with privateness, bias, and information utilization. Addressing those concerns would increase the discussion.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	<p>Recommendations for Improvement:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Enhance Originality: Consider incorporating unique research findings, insights, or perspectives to distinguish the assessment from present literature.</li> <li>2. Address Ethical Considerations: Include a phase discussing moral implications and considerations associated with sentiment analysis, specifically in sensitive domains like politics and finance.</li> <li>3. Streamline Content: Review the textual content for any redundant or repetitive statistics and streamline it for readability and conciseness.</li> <li>4. Ensure Proper Citations: Double-test all citations to make certain proper attribution and adherence to quotation hints to keep away from plagiarism.</li> </ol> <p>Overall evaluation: The article gives a thorough exam of corpus-based totally methods for sentiment evaluation, presenting treasured insights into the sphere. With a few upgrades in originality, addressing moral concerns, and streamlining content material, it has the ability to be a rather informative and impactful contribution to sentiment analysis research.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>None</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>None</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Balaji Nagarajan</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>United States of America</b></p>