

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Pediatric Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJPR_119580 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Relationship among Social Determinants and Non-Compliance with Vaccination in Children Under 6 Years of Age in Guanajuato, México |
| Type of the Article | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ No, the title doesn't contain economic term although in the text it is said the social and economic variables were studied. Also, study design should be included in the title to make it complete ➤ In Abstract, study design sentence should be reframed and keywords should also include words like 'compliance' and ' socio-economic determinants' ➤ Yes, but lot of previous study findings are discussed in Introduction section which is not needed, it should come in discussion part. ➤ Yes ➤ References are not written in Vancouver style and are totally written in block letter. So it should be written again as per journal requirement. ➤ Study Duration in Abstract and Methodology section does not match. ➤ Criteria of selection of participants should be reframed, it is not clear. ➤ Spelling mistakes in 2.2.1 ➤ Complete & Incomplete vaccination should be defined in Methodology ➤ Who is the study participant? Mother or child? How can children be the participants, as it is indicated in Result section of Table 1 ➤ Table 1 – Average number of children is 1.5, this description is not clear. ➤ Table 1 – is very confusing, not able to present the actual result. ➤ Table 2 description – rephrase, there are so many grammatical errors. ➤ Table 3 title – can be changed, it doesn't say anything about the association, which the table is trying to show ➤ Table 4 title – can be changed, again it doesn't say anything about the content of the table clearly (Odd's ratio) ➤ Title of Table 5 is ABSENT | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>No, at many places it is not possible to understand what the author is trying to say. There are grammatical and spelling mistakes at many places.</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

| | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Optional/General comments | I think the manuscript should be re-written. | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Anjani Kumar Srivastava |
| Department, University & Country | United Institute of Medical Sciences & United Medicity, India |