

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_118606
Title of the Manuscript:	VITAMIN D: A KEY FACTOR IN PROSTATE HEALTH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This study has some level of knowledge of the study area</p> <p>The title is related to the investigation done</p> <p>The statistical method(s) is/are stated in the abstract</p> <p>Subsections</p> <p>The sampling techniques and statistical methods used were not clearly stated. The use of percentages is not enough justification for the conclusion.</p> <p>More articles should be reviewed</p> <p>The investigation in this study is inadequate, statistical methods like chi-square, and regression analysis should for the statistical significance of the study. It is not enough to use percentages for an original research article</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language used is concise</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is great work but the sampling techniques used are not clearly explained</p> <p>Perform other statistical methods, to make the work more scientific</p> <p>Percentages are not enough justification to determine the correlation between variables</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Clement Kwabena Apaw
Department, University & Country	School of Public Health, Southern Medical University, China