

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_119362</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>An economic analysis of credit availment for grape cultivation and value addition from different sources in Vijayapura district of Karnataka</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community</li> <li>2. The title of the article is suitable</li> <li>3. The Abstract is okay. But please include study location as the last part of your keywords</li> <li>4. Sub sections and structures are okay</li> <li>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct</li> <li>6. The references are not sufficient.</li> <li>7. Introduction and materials and methods lack citation. The author impersonated statements and need to be corrected. Every sentence made by another author should be duly cited please.</li> <li>8. Results and Discussion: 40% is not majority, majority should be from 51% .. please check through your work and correct all similar mistakes. - 35, 55 do you refer to frequency or percentages? Please check through and correct. You should report in percentage. - When you say Majority ( ) the corresponding value should be put in bracket and in percentage. Check through your work and correct.</li> <li>9. (Jagtap, 2015) please correct. Effect all similar corrections using the examples given</li> <li>10. Conclusion.. please is Conclusion and recommendations.. Note: After you conclude your research work and make conclusion statement, recommendations most follow to guide the users of your information on what to do next.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The quality of English language used is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The author should take time to effect all corrections pointed out before publication</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rejoice James Mshelizah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria</b>