

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_114898
Title of the Manuscript:	Standardising The Different Levels of NPK on Yield and Yield Attributes of Potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L.) for North Zone of Bihar
Type of the Article	Paper

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The topic answers important questions about adequate levels of NPK for potato tuber yield.</p> <p>Title seems too long, I suggest to be modified into "Effect of NPK levels on potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L.) tuber yield attributes in the North Zone of Bihar"</p> <p>Comprehensive abstract</p> <p>Please number the subsections</p> <p>Requires some corrections</p> <p>Sufficient references but try to use recent ones (at least two additional recent references)</p> <p>Please explain the term "treatments" NPK treatment or what? in the abbreviation list</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Language ok	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akrimi Rawaa
Department, University & Country	Regional Center of Agricultural Research of Sidi, Tunisia