

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_119340
Title of the Manuscript:	Marketing Efficiency and Disposal Pattern of Pomegranate in Maharashtra
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it presents in-depth data and analysis on marketing and disposal patterns of pomegranates in Maharashtra, which is one of the major producing states in India. "However, storage problems, transportation problems, and in some cases packaging material problems lead to increased costs for stakeholders". This manuscript will reveal facts regarding marketing patterns, marketing chains, price dispersion and other obstacles for stakeholders in marketing pomegranates in Maharashtra after harvest from farmers' fields. This title reflects the content of the article which focuses on marketing analysis and distribution of pomegranates in the region. However, if you need an alternative title, you can consider the following titles: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> "Optimizing Pomegranate Supply Chain and Marketing in Maharashtra" "Marketing Chain Efficiency and Distribution Challenges of Pomegranate Fruit in Maharashtra" The abstract is deemed less comprehensive because the research objectives do not include specific objectives and detailed methodology Based on the content of the document, the structure and sub-sections of the article are generally well-organized and comprehensive. However, there are a few areas where improvements could be made to enhance clarity and coherence. Here are some suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure the abstract succinctly summarizes the research's objectives, methods, results, and conclusions. It should provide a clear and concise overview of the entire study. If you look at the structure and contents of the article, it is in accordance with research principles, but there are several suggestions to clarify the meaning of each structure in the article scientifically. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Abstract: Be more specific about the findings and their implications. For example, mention the exact percentage of consumer rupee share obtained by producers and clarify the recommendations for developing farmer markets. Introduction: Clearly state the research problem and objectives early in the introduction. Highlight the gaps in existing literature that the study aims to address. Materials and method: Ensure the methodology is detailed enough for replication. Discuss potential biases and limitations of the study. The references are relevant and up-to-date 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The language is mostly clear and conveys the intended meaning 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bobby Rachmat Saefudin
Department, University & Country	Ma'soem University, Indonesia