



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. I have gone through the original and revised manuscript. There are few things to be corrected. Please find some of those I have marked in the attached manuscript. Also the author has to mention how many replicas were used in agar disk diffusion assay and need to present the diameter of the inhibition zones with SD. Also the author has not mentioned positive and negative control of the assay.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. All editorial comments were corrected.</li><li>2. The growth inhibition zones that resulted were measured in millimeters, and the findings were documented. According to Srinivasan et al. (2001), inhibitory zones less than 12 mm in diameter lacked antibacterial activity. Reference (30)</li><li>3. Then, a hole with a diameter of 6 to 8 mm was punched aseptically with a sterile cork borer; with 50µl of the different concentrations (100, 50, 25, and 12.5, %) of plant extracts and was placed on the inoculated agar. For negative control, 50 µL of distilled water was placed at the center of inoculated MHA. Clove extract was introduced into the well at the desired concentration, and culture plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h.</li></ol>