

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JSRR_118125
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of the Constraints Perceived by Farmers in Production and Marketing of Betel Vines in Bankura District of West Bengal
Type of the Article	Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This manuscript depicts the constraint faced by the farmers at field level. Hence, this manuscript is important to the scientific community</p> <p>2. A slight modification in title is suggested as "Evaluation of the Constraints Perceived by Farmers in Production and Marketing of Betel Vines in Bankura District of West Bengal"</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive enough.</p> <p>4. Yes, Appropriate. The general content in the introduction and methodology may be minimized. Results can be compared with earlier research in discussion part.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. The recommendations to the Government may be indicated as suggestions</p> <p>6. Yes, sufficient. Author can refer the reviewed manuscript to add additional references.</p> <p><b>Additional comment</b></p> <p>1. Introduction can be precise and appropriate to the study. Necessary modification or reduction of content may be done</p> <p>2. Author may follow the author guidelines for subtitles of manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Necessary corrections made in review. The author can look and consider adopting in the manuscript to improve the readability and understanding to the researchers of our esteemed journal.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Manuscript is satisfactory. Field oriented research will be utilized to enlighten the minds of policy makers.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>C. Suresh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Veterinary University Training and Research Centre, Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, India</b>