

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_117589
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Pharmacognostic Characteristics, Antioxidant Potential, and Anticholinesterase Activity of Piliostigma thonningii (Schum.) Milne-Redh.: Implications for Neuroprotection and Cognitive Health
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, it is. Neurodegenerative disorders are increasing day by day. The present therapeutic approaches are either resistant to respond or unbearable both economically and in safety context. Plants are the main sources for development and discovery of new alternatives for the management of various diseases including neurodegenerative disorders.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is.</p> <p>3. Yes, it is. But the author should consider the points mentioned by reviewer.</p> <p>4. Yes, in most extent.</p> <p>5. Yes, I think so.</p> <p>6. The references are not sufficient and recent. Please refer to the comments in respective parts.</p> <p>7. There are some other important points, already mentioned in the manuscript, the author should consider,</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, it is, But there are many language notes present that should be considered for the better understanding.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rabia Ayoubi
Department, University & Country	Kabul University, Afghanistan