VARIATION IN LEAF BIOCHEMICAL COMPOSITONOF GUAVA (*Psidium guajava* L) HYBRIDS

Abstract

Interplay of several internal development cues leads to floral induction in perennials like guava, mango and litchi. The transition of plants from the vegetative to the reproductive phase depends on the biochemical composition of the leaves. In view of this, the present study was conductedtoknow the variation in biochemical composition in non-flowering and flowering guava plants. Seven guava hybrids H1 (ARP selection x Lalit), H2 (Lalit x ARP selection), H3 (Allahabad Safeda x ARP selection), H4 (Lalit x Allahabad Safeda), H5 (Allahabad Safeda x Lalit), H6 (Nagpur Seedless x Allahabad Safeda) and OP (Open pollinated progeny of Allahabad Safeda).)were used for estimation of biochemical constituents in blooming and non-blooming plants. Results revealed that higher levels of total sugars, protein, and carbohydrates were observed in the leaves of non-blooming plants compared to blooming plants. However, compared to non-flowered plants, flowering plants had leaves with a higher amount of total phenol.

Key words: Guava, Carbohydrates, Proteins, Phenols, Total sugars

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Introduction :

Psidium guajava L. (2n=22, family Myrtaceae) is grown around the world in tropical and subtropical climates. Guava is a member of the Myrtaceae family, which has around 5650 species and 150 genera [1]. Guava is grown over 292 thousand hectares in India, with a production of 4361 thousand metric tonnes [2]. Major guava producing states are Maharashtra, Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Odisha, Andhra Pradesh and Punjab. Guava is grown over an area of 9.53 thousand hectares with an annual production of 229.78 thousand metric tonnes in Andhra Pradesh [3]. Vitamin A, thiamin, riboflavin and ascorbic acid content are abundant in edible guava fruits. The leaves of the guava plant are used to cure diabetes, diarrhea, cancer, neurodegenerative, gastrointestinal, and cardiovascular diseases, whereas dried and ripened fruits are considered the best remedy for dysentery [4, 5].

Flowering plays an essential role for successful consecutive production of fruit crops. Understanding the role of biochemical constituents like carbohydrates, proteins, total sugars, and total phenols regarding flower initiation is an important aspect during the initial stage of hybrid evaluation. Plants produced phenolic compounds as secondary metabolites through the metabolism of phenylpropanoid in the pentose phosphate and shikimic acid pathways. Higher amount of carbohydrates were consumed during the beginning and subsequent development of floral organs [6]. Stimulation of carbohydrate catabolism in pear bud might be occurs due to lower levels of sugars. Based on the importance of different biochemical constituents during flower initiation, a study was conducted to know the role of carbohydrates, proteins, total sugars, and total phenols in the flowering of guava hybrids.

Materials and Methods

An experiment was carried out on three-year-old hybrids of guava at the College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R.HorticulturalUniveristy, Anantharajupeta, Andhra Pradesh. Hybrids include H1 (ARP selection x Lalit), H2 (Lalit x ARP selection), H3 (Allahabad Safeda x ARP selection), H4 (Lalit x Allahabad Safeda), H5 (Allahabad Safeda x Lalit), H6 (Nagpur Seedless x Allahabad Safeda), and OP (Open pollinated progeny of Allahabad Safeda). A randomized block design was used for the current investigation, with three replications for each treatment. To establish the relationship between leaf biochemical constituents and flowering, leaf samples were collected from flowering and non-flowering hybrids for estimation of carbohydrates, protein, total sugars, and total phenols. Total sugars in leaves were determined by Yemm and Willis [7]. Total phenols from guava leaves were extracted by procedure developed by Singleton*et al.*[8].Based on Lowrys [9] method, Protein content was estimated. Total carbohydrates were estimated based on the Anthrone method [10]. The collected data was statistically analyzed by using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) test. All these analysis were processedby using OPSTAT.

Result and Discussion

The overall levels of total sugars, total proteins, total carbohydrates and total phenol varied greatly between blooming and non-blooming hybrid guava plants.The content of biochemical components in the leaves of guava hybrid plants is displayed in Table. 1. The total sugar content of non-flowering hybrid leaves ranged from 1.38 to 1.79 mg/g and in blooming hybrid plants it ranges from 0.77 to 1.58 mg/g. In comparison to flowering hybrids higher amount of total sugars were found in leaves of non-flowering hybrids. Within non-flowering hybrids, the highest total sugar content was observed in H2, H6 and the lowest in H4. Regardless of hybrids, flowering plants showed a lower amount of total sugar compared to non-flowering plants. Cho *et al.*[11]and Moghaddam and Ende [12] mentioned the role of sucrose in relation to flowering. In vegetative tissues, sugars trigger the proliferation of vegetative organs and produce larger and thicker leaves (19).Sugars produced in mango leaves are a potential driving force for phloem-based transport of a flowering promoter (20).Carbohydrate content in the leaves of non-flowering hybrids ranged from 0.57-1.14 mg/g, whereas it ranged from 0.35-0.71 mg/g in flowering plants. Among non-flowering hybrids, maximum carbohydrates were recorded in H1 and a minimum in H2. During flower-bud differentiation process carbohydrate content of leaves, roots and shoot tips might play an essential role [13]. Adequate amount of carbohydrates are utilized for the initiation and development of floral organs in meristematic region of pear [14]. Regarding protein content in leaves, it varied from 1.42-3.86 mg/g where flowering plants registered a range of 1.26-3.22 mg/g. Among non-

UNDER PEER REVIEW

flowering hybrids, maximum protein content was observed in H1 and H6. As compared to flowering plants, non-flowering plants shows lower amount of leaf protein content. During flower initiation process genes related to autophagy and degradation of protein content are involved [15].

Table.1. Total sugars, carbohydrates, proteins and total phenols in flowering and non-flowering guava hybrids

Hybrids	Total sugars (%)		Protein content (mg/g)		Total carbohydrates (mg/g)		Total phenols (mg/g)	
	Non flowering	Flowering	Non flowering	Flowering	Non flowering	Flowering	Non flowering	Flowering
H1	1.58	1.19	4.06	3.10	1.14	0.71	6.28	8.50
H2	1.79	1.58	2.03	2.35	0.57	0.35	5.66	6.52
H3	1.57	0.77	3.86	3.22	0.71	0.43	7.37	9.14
H4	1.38	0.78	3.56	3.00	0.79	0.65	5.36	6.82
H5	1.60	1.20	2.60	2.37	0.73	0.55	6.28	8.52
H6	1.79	1.58	1.42	1.26	0.79	0.61	5.70	6.57
OP	1.60	1.19	2.52	2.25	0.82	0.67	7.43	9.49
C.D.	0.04	0.04	0.20	0.76	0.05	0.11	NS	1.78

Leaves of flowering hybrids showed a higher content of total phenols compared to non-flowering ones. It ranged from 5.36-7.43 mg/g in non-flowering plants and 6.52–9.49 mg/g in flowering plants. There was no significant difference among hybrids in non-flowering plants. The highest total phenol content in leaves was observed in OP and the lowest in H2. The mobilization of stored food supplies to shoots, which encourages floral bud differentiation and resulting in flowering. The hormone that causes floral initiationand development in litchi is analogized by phenols [16].In Mango cv Neelum the highest level of total phenol content was recorded at flower and fruit bud differentiation [17] which provide supporting evidence on the role of phenol in guava flowering. Phenolic content of fruit buds in mango was stable in undifferentiated (or) scar buds while it increased steadily with advancing flower bud differentiation [18].

UNDER PEER REVIEW



Difference of leaf total sugars content in non-flowering over flowering in guava hybrids



Difference of leaf protein content in non-flowering over flowering in guava hybrids



Difference of total carbohydrates content in non-flowering over flowering in guava hybrids



Difference of leaf total phenols content in non-flowering over flowering in guava hybrids

Conclusion

Assessment of biochemical parameters in the leaves of flowering and non-flowering hybrids revealed that flowering plants registered the highest phenol levels, while non-flowering plants registered high total sugars, carbohydrates, and proteins. Phenols as a secondary metabolite play a major role in plant defence mechanisms and, at higher concentrations, favour flower initiation and prevent flower drop by reducing oxidative stress. Thus, understanding the floral mechanism of guava plants and effectively planning crop improvement programmes is aided by knowledge of leaf biochemical composition in flowering and non-flowering hybrids.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

1.NIL

2.

3.

Reference

[1] R. Govaerts, M. Sobral, P. Ashton, F. Barrie , B.K. Holst, L.L. Landrum and K. Matsumoto. *etal***(2008).**World checklist of Myrtacea. The Board of Trustees of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew.http://www.kew.org/wcsp

[2] Anonymous **(2019).**National Horticulture Data Base. National Horticulture Board. Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India.

[3] Anonymous**(2018).**National Horticulture Data Base. National Horticulture Board. Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India.

[4] V.S Jaiswal and M.N. Amin**(1992).**Guava and jackfruit. In: Hammerschlag, FA, Litz RE (eds) Biotechnology of perennial fruit crops In: Biotechnology in agriculture, 8. CAB Interenational, Wallingford.421-31

[5] J.V. Kamath, N. Rahul, C.K.K. Ashok andS.M. Lakshmi**(2008)**.*Psidium guajava*: a review. Int J Green Pharm.2:9-12.

[6] A. Ito, H. Hayama andY. Kashimura**(2004)**. Possible roles of sugar concentration and its metabolism in the regulation of flower bud formation in Japanese pear (*Pyruspyrifolia*). Proc. XXVI IHC – Deciduous Fruit and Nut Trees. Ed. A.D. Webster. Acta Hort.;636, ISHS 365-73.

[7] E.W. Yemm andA.J. Willis**(1954)**. The estimation of carbohydrates in plant extracts by anthrone. Biochemical Journal. 57: 508-514.

[8] V.L. Singleton, A. Joseph and Rossi**(1965)**. Colourimetry of total phenolics with phossphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid reagents. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture.16:144-158.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

[9] O.H. Lowry, N.J. Rosebrough, A.L. Farr andR.J. Randall **(1951)**. Protein measurement with foin-phenol reagent. Journal of Biochemistry. 193:265-275.

[10] J.E. Hedge andB.T. Hofreiter**(1962)**. In: Methods in Carbohydrate Chemistry, 7(Eds.,) Whistler, R.L. and Be Miller, J.N., Academic Press, New York. p.420.

[11] L. Cho, R. Pasriga, J. Yoon, J. Jeon andG. An **(2018)**. Roles of sugars in controlling flowering time. J. Plant Biol. 61:121-130.

[12] M.R.B. Moghaddam andW.M. **(2013)**. Ende. Sugars, the clock and transition to flowering. Frontiers in Plant Science.4: 1-6.

[13] S. Eshghi, E. Tafazoli, S. Dokhani, M. Rahemi andY. Emam**(2007)**. Changes in carbohydrate contents in shoot tips, leaves and roots of strawberry (*Fragariaananassa*Duch.) during flower-bud differentiation. Scientia Horticulturae.113: 255–260.

[14] S.A. Peng andS. Iwahori**(1994)**. Morphological and cytological changes in apical meristem during flower bud differentiation of Japanese pear, *Pyruspyrifolia*. J. Jap. Soc. Hort. Sci. 63, 313–321.

[15] K. Fan, Q. Zhang, M. Liu, L. Ma, Y. Shi andJ. Ruan **(2018)**. Metabolomic and transcriptional analyses reveal the mechanism of C, N allocation from source leaf to flower in tea plant (*Camelliasinensis*. L). Journal of Plant Physiology. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j.jplph.2018.11.007.

[16] N. Lal, E.S.Marboh, A.K. Gupta, A. Kumar, A.K.D. Anal andV. Nath **(2019)**. Variation in leaf phenol content during flowering in litchi (*Litchi chinensis* SONN.). Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences. 7(6): 569 – 573.

[17] M. Kumar,V. Ponnuswami, P. Jeya Kumar and S. Saraswathy (2014) Influence of season affecting flowering and physiological parameters in mango. Scientific Research and Essays 9: 1-6.

[18] P.B. Patel, M.M.Rao, C.N. Srinivasan, P.W. Basarkar and V.G. Nalwadi (1992) Physiological and biochemical factors associated with fruit bud differentiation in Alphonso mango: V – total free phenols and polyphenol oxidase. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science 54:338-342.

[19] S.I.Gibson (2005) Control of plant development and gene expression by sugar signaling. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 8,93–102. doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2004.11.003

[20] T.L. Davenport (2007) Reproductive physiology of mango. Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology 19, 363–376. doi:10.1590/S1677-042020 07000400007

UNDER PEER REVIEW