

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_118862
Title of the Manuscript:	The 50 most cited articles on resinous infiltrates in dentistry: a bibliometric analysis
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4.</p> <p>5. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>6. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>7. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It's important for the authors who want to do retrospective study on resinous infiltrates in future and importance of this infiltrates in dentistry can be clinically evaluated with this study</p> <p>It's ok ,but I feel instead of keeping the number 50 they can simply put the most cited articles in resinous infiltrates as title</p> <p>Yes,it is comprehensive</p> <p>They look quite decent but I feel number of words can be decreased over all.</p> <p>Scientific relevance of the article is okay.but clinically we need more documentation to come to a conclusion</p> <p>Yes they are sufficient according to my knowledge as per the data they collected</p> <p>Personally I feel instead of collecting data from this articles the authors can go through more standard articles.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Grammarly should be revised in some places</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	Over all the study is ok need some modifications in number of words count ,Englishgrammarly and selection of references	
----------------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C. L. Bharathi
Department, University & Country	NTRUHS, India