

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_119440
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation studies on floral behavior of Chironji populations (Buchanania lanzan Spreng) from natural forest northern India
Type of the Article	This manuscript is a community of studies in the field of biology

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is very important in the aspect of knowing some plants that are beneficial to agriculture and food supply for several scientific plant varieties</p> <p>The title of this paper is correct, but it is necessary to specify the benefits of this plant so that the scientific community can know the most important types and benefits</p> <p>The abstract of this article is not complete because the benefits and influence aspects of this dude have not been described by the author</p> <p>It would be better if the main point of discussion was more about the uses and benefits of the varieties of flowers that were explored</p> <p>This manuscript is correct, but there needs to be a specification of the type of variety and its influence on the scientific community</p> <p>The references that are compiled should be up to date because the references presented are not enough, and the use of Mendeley in the preparation of the references</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of English presented is still more dominant, scientific studies should be presented in a language that attracts readers with aspects of usefulness for the scientific community</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>It is better to improve the article that will be presented from the discussion subsection, namely the need for scientific pictures, plant benefits, tables of flower varieties and references need to be added at least 50 references</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohammad Imam Sufiyanto</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institut Agama Islam Negeri, Indonesia</b>