

[ReviewForm1.7](#)

JournalName:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JABB_119334
TitleoftheManuscript:	TheImpactofMulchesandBiofertilizersontheGrowthandYieldofBroccoli(BrassicaOleraceaL.Var.Italica)-AComprehensiveReview
Typeof theArticle	Review Article

PART 1: ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that a author should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, it is. It is stated in the "Introduction" as follows: "People nowadays are moving towards healthy living, and for this reason, everyone is looking for vegetables with high nutritive values. As broccoli is one of such vegetable with high nutritive value, it is termed as the "Crown jewel of Nutrition" the demand of these vegetables is increasing day by day."	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, but with a note. Suggestion: The article title is too long (more than 15 words). It's best to shorten the title, for example: "The Impact of Mulches and Biofertilizers on the Growth and Yield of Broccoli: A Comprehensive Review" or "The Impact of Mulches and Biofertilizers on the Growth and Yield of Broccoli (Brassica Oleracea L. Var. Italica)"	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes, it is but with note The abstract of the article did not explain well about review design or review method	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, it is. The presentation of the manuscript is very simple. Subsections and structure of the manuscript do not follow standard article preparation, namely: Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussions, and Conclusion.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	No, it is. The manuscript does not explain the experimental/laboratory test results clearly.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Using a reference for the last ten years, the percentage is 43%.	

[ReviewForm1.7](#)

Minor REVISIONcomments		
1.Is language/English quality of the articles suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erni Puspanantasari Putri
Department, University & Country	Universitas 17 Agustus 1945, Indonesia