

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_118506
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Vermicompost and Inorganic Fertilizer on Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil on Cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the community, especially in the agricultural sectors. 2. Yes 3. The Abstract needs improvement. 4. Yes the subsections are appropriate 5. Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct 6. The reference needs improvement. Some details are missing. And should follow proper formatting. 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript needs revision before being accepted for publication.</p> <p>The introduction needs revision and must emphasize the background of the study and why the conduct of this experiment is important.</p> <p>The methods section also needs to be revised. Some important details need to be explained, such as the background of the vermicompost (worms used, other details of the vermicompost). The map should also be shown for the locale of the study.</p> <p>The results and discussions: This part needs the most improvement. The figure and table lack information. Authors should decide between table or figure because authors show both with similar information. Discussion and comparison of results to other published journals should also be enhanced and should presented in a proper way.</p> <p>The conclusion also should be improved, and recommendations for future studies.</p> <p>The reference section should also be improved; lacking details should be provided. Formatting is also a problem.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Florence Jhun F Almadin
Department, University & Country	Caraga State University, Philippines