

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JABB_118087
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Smart Herbicides: The Future of Weed Management
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community, but if the Nano-herbicides effect on weeds without effected on non-target biodiversity and the growing crops.</p> <p>A Review on Nano-herbicides: The Future of Weed Management</p> <p>Did not enough for the presented the role of Nano-herbicides due to the abstract did not indicate the effect of Nano-herbicides on the productivity of cultivated crops.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>No, need more detail about the side effect of Nano-herbicides.</p> <p>Smart Herbicides indicate that these compounds have the ability to reach the target without causing any negative aspects that is, imitating what humans do. This is not the case, but it would be preferable for the title to be Nano and not smart.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b> No need improvement</p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohammed Shams Mekky</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agricultural Research Center, Egypt</b>