

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JABB_118087
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Smart Herbicides: The Future of Weed Management
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Title: A Review on Smart Herbicides: The Future of Weed Management</p> <p>Journal: Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology</p> <p>Reviewer #1:</p> <p>The author constructed A Review on Smart Herbicides: The Future of Weed Management But further research is still needed. Major revision need Some specific comments are listed below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Furthermore, no improvement as Nanotechnology emerges as a promising avenue for herbicide development, offering 'Smart herbicides' with heightened effectiveness and reduced applications give more current information's inserted in Introduction section. Since the Chemical weed control with herbicides consists of lot of other components, did authors check the effect other herbicides systems on the reaction? The authors should check for grammatical errors, spelling mistakes, and spaces between numbers and words throughout the manuscript. The introduction section: The authors should add more valid references with previous techniques Nano herbicidal approach for weed controls. The authors should check for the format of references throughout the manuscript. In conclusion, it is not clear about the academic originality, and thus this may improve your above comments meet the standard of the Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors should check for the format of references throughout the manuscript. In conclusion, it is not clear about the academic originality, and thus this may improve your above comments meet the standard of the Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	D. Ramachandiran
Department, University & Country	Indian Arts and Science College, Thiruvalluvar University, India