

EFFECT OF NITROGEN IN PREMATURE SPROUTING OF GARLIC

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out at Regional Spices Research Centre, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Magura during 2021-22 and 2022-23 to find out the effect of nitrogen in premature sprouting of garlic. The two factor experiment was designed in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCB) with three replications. The treatments comprised of three varieties of garlic (BARI Rashun-1, BARI Rashun- 3 and Advance line AS Mag-001) and four dose of nitrogen. Significant differences regarding yield and yield attributes were observed among different treatments. The highest plant height (92.00 cm in 2021-22 and 94.08 in 2022-23), individual bulb weight (30.33 g in 2021-22 and 31.58 g in 2022-23) and yield per hectare (13.30 t/ha in 2021-22 and 13.48 in 2022-23) were obtained from the treatment T₄V₃ (AS Mag-001 line with nitrogen @ 235kg/ha). The lowest plant height (69.67 cm), number of leaves per plant (7.67), individual bulb weight (23.67 g) and yield per hectare (8.31 t/ha) from the treatment T₂V₁(BARI Rashun-3 with nitrogen @185kg/ha). The highest number of sprouted plant (43) with maximum incidence (22.88 %) were observed in T₄V₃ (AS Mag-001 line with nitrogen @235kg/ha) where the lowest number of sprouted plant (7) and with minimum incidence (4.55%) were observed in T₁V₂ (BARI Rashun-3 with nitrogen @ 160kg/ha).

Keywords: Premature sprouting, garlic, nitrogen, yield

1. INTRODUCTION

Garlic (*Allium sativum*) is one of the most important Allium plants widely cultivated throughout the world including Bangladesh. It is an aromatic herbaceous plant belonging to the family Alliaceae. (Kurian, 1995). It is one the most important bulb vegetable which is used as spice and flavouring agent for food and as medicinal plant (Velisek et al., 1997). It has high nutritional value, and is rich in vitamins A and C. Garlic also contains antibiotic substances which makes it valuable for medical benefits (Bayan et al., 2014).The substance exhibits antioxidant, antimicrobial, antifungal, anticancer, and antiasthmatic properties (Davis 2005; Corzo-Martinez et al. 2007; Rana et al. 2011). Additionally, it demonstrates antiviral effects against influenza B, HIV (type 1), herpes simplex, coxsackie, and other viruses (Chakraborty and Majumder 2020). The multiple uses of garlic today translate into its increasing demand for domestic consumption as well as production input for pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries. About 50.16 lakh Metric tons of garlic produce in 0.73 lakh hectares of land during 2021-22 year (BBS, 2022). But this production is very low compared to annual demand. In Bangladesh, the uses and demand of garlic is rising every year due to rapid increase of population. It is not possible to increase the cultivation area of crop due to limitation of land. The only way to solve the problem is to

increase per hectare yield. Several problems enhance to decrease the yield of garlic among them physiological disorder of garlic is a serious problems (Pruthi, 2001).

A physiological disorder is defined as one that is caused by a physiological or biochemical cause, rather than a fungal, bacteria, virus or insect (Yahia et al., 2019). Physiological disorders may occur before and after harvest, particularly during storage. Premature sprouting is a major physiological disorder mainly occurs under excess soil moisture condition at early stage especially when the bulbs are going to be matured. Pre-mature sprouting of garlic bulbs characterized by the production of leaves instead of bulbing following the initial development of cloves (Sarker et al., 2017). The sprouts emerging from the cloves will rapidly burst through the covering of the leaf sheath. Sprouting causes the cloves to divide, resulting in a decrease in the market value of the bulbs (Peter et al., 1995). The maximum observed losses in the field due to this disorder is 0.5% (Neerja et al., 2016). It shortens the shelf life as well as reduces quality of bulbs. The causes of this disorder is complex and several factors such as temperature (low and high), mineral imbalance, relative humidity, chemicals such as ethylene, water stress and certain agricultural methods (Mishra et al., 2016). However, the incidence varies from variety to variety coupled with crop management practices as well. The scientific causes of this phenomena are still unknown but some possible reason was strongly suspected such as heavy manuring or extended periods of high soil N levels, excess irrigation and fluctuating weather condition during bulb development.

Therefore, developments of high yielding varieties, appropriate management practices, production of quality bulb are some important factors to minimize the existing wide gap between production and consumption. For these consequences, the experiment was undertaken to find out the effect of nitrogen in premature sprouting of garlic

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Experimental site

Two field experiments were conducted at the research field in Regional Spices Research Centre, BARI, Magura, during the years 2020-2021 and 2021-2022 to find out the effect of nitrogen in premature sprouting of garlic. The experimental site belongs to Agro-Ecological Zone (AEZ) No. 11 (High Ganges River Floodplain), and the geographic coordinates are latitude: 23^o29'18.468546" N, longitude: 89^o24'8.06306" E. The soil was moderately deep with clay loam in texture and had a pH of 7.54. Table 1 shows the chemical properties of the soil from the experimental plot. Soil samples were randomly collected at 0-30 cm soil depth for physical and chemical analysis before the commencement of the experiment. The soil sample was air dried ground and sieved. Then the soil sample was ready for analysis. Soil properties were analyzed by using the following methods (Table 1). All soil chemical properties were analyzed in the Regional Laboratory, Soil Resource Development Institute (SRDI), Khulna.

Table 1. Chemical properties of initial soil (before sowing) of the experimental site

| Soil properties | | Methods of analyses | Reference |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---|------------------------------|
| Soil pH | 7.8 | Glass electrode method | Carter (1993). |
| OM (%) | 1.55 | Wet oxidation method | Piper (1950) |
| K (meq/100g soil) | 0.34 | Bray and Kurtz method | Bray and Kurtz (1947) |
| Total N (%) | 0.09 | Atomic absorption spectrophotometer | Cristian and Feldmen, (1970) |
| P ($\mu\text{g/g}$ soil) | 52.71 | Atomic absorption spectrophotometer | Thomas, (1990) |
| S ($\mu\text{g/g}$ soil) | 11.47 | Turbidity method | Chesnin and Yein, (1951) |
| Z ($\mu\text{g.g}^{-1}$ soil) | 0.98 | 0.1N HCl (hydrochloric acid) extraction' method | (Huq and Alam, 2005). |
| B ($\mu\text{g.g}^{-1}$ soil) | 0.42 | Hot water text method | (Berger and Truog, 1939). |
| Soil texture | Clay loam | Hydrometer method | USDA Soil Survey Staff 1951 |

Source: Soil Resource Development Institute (SRDI), Khulna.

2.2. Experimental design and treatments

The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized complete design with three replications. The treatments are Factor A (Nitrogen); T₁: Nitrogen@160kg/ha, T₂: Nitrogen@185kg/ha, T₃: Nitrogen@210kg/ha, T₄: Nitrogen@235kg/ha and Factor B (Varieties); V₁: BARI Rashun-1, V₂: BARI Rashun- 3, V₃: AS Mag-001 line. The unit plot size was 3m x 1.2 m maintaining the spacing 15 cm x10 cm.

2.3. Fertilization and intercultural operation

Nitrogen fertilizer was applied according to the treatments. The other fertilizers were applied in the form of triple super phosphate, muriate of potash, gypsum at the rate of P₅₄ K₇₅ S₂₀ kg/ha. Well-decomposed manure was incorporated before final land preparation. The entire quantity of P, K, S. Cultural operations like watering, fertilizer application, weeding and plant protection measures were performed as per the needs of the garlic crop during the season. Three-hand weedings at 30, 50 and 70 DAP. Three irrigations at 20, 50 and 80 DAP were provided. The fungicide Rovral (Iprodione) @ 3 g/L liter of water was sprayed at 30 days intervals commencing from one month after transplanting of seedlings.

2.4. Data collection and analysis

Weather data such as maximum temperature, minimum temperature, and rainfall which were recorded during two cropping seasons are presented in Table 2. The weather data were collected from the Weather Observatory Station, Regional Spices Research Sub-Centre, BARI, Magura,

Bangladesh. Data on plant height (cm), number of leaves/plant, bulb diameter (cm), individual bulb weight, bulb yield were recorded. The incidence of secondary sprouting of garlic was calculated by the following formula:

$$\text{Incidence of secondary sprouting (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of infected plants}}{\text{Total number of plants}} \times 100$$

The recorded data were analyzed statistically to find out the level of significance caused by experimental treatments. Data on various parameters were statistically analyzed using Statistix10 software.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Response of variety

Yield and yield contributing characters of different garlic varieties are presented in Table 2. Plant height, individual bulb weight and yield of garlic were significantly influenced by different varieties. The highest plant height (87.75 cm in 2021-22 and 89.73 cm in 2022-23), individual bulb weight (28.57 g in 2021-22 and 29.81 g in 2022-23) and yield per hectare (12.07 t/ha in 2021-22 and 12.69 t/ha in 2022-23) were obtained from AS Mag-001. The lowest plant height (72.67cm in 2021-22 and 74.74 cm in 2022-23), individual bulb weight (19.15 g in 2021-22 and 25.92 g in 2022-23) and yield per hectare (9.16 t/ha in 2021-22 and 9.33t/ha in 2022-23).

Table 2. Mean performance of different garlic varieties in respects of yield and yield components

| Garlic varieties and advance line | Plant height(cm) | | Individual bulb weight (g) | | Yield (t/ha) | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| V ₁ :BARI Rashun-1 | 83.33 b | 85.14 b | 24.24 b | 28.68 b | 10.85 b | 10.54 b |
| V ₂ :BARI Rashun-3 | 72.67 c | 74.74 c | 19.15 c | 25.92 c | 9.16 c | 9.33 c |
| V ₃ : AS Mag-001 | 87.75 a | 89.73 a | 28.57 a | 29.81 a | 12.07 a | 12.69 a |
| CV (%) | 0.25 | 0.59 | 9.55 | 6.54 | 1.2 | 2.1 |

Note: Mean followed by the same letter did not differ significantly. CV= Coefficient of variation.

3.2. Response of nitrogen

Mean performance of garlic varieties at different nitrogen levels was presented in Table 3. Yield and yield components of garlic were significantly influenced by different levels of nitrogen. The highest plant height (84.89 cm in 2021-22 and 87.00 cm in 2022-23), individual bulb weight (28.33g in 2021-22 and 29.92 g in 2022-23) and yield per hectare (11.70 t/ha in 2021-22 and 11.42 in 2022-23) were obtained from T4 (Nitrogen @235 kg/ha). The lowest plant height (77.78 cm in 2021-22 and 79.59 cm in 2022-23), individual bulb weight (25.56 g in 2021-22 and 27.28

g in 2022-23) and yield per hectare (9.82 t/ha in 2021-22 and 10.60 t/ha in 2022-23) were obtained from T₁ (Nitrogen @160 kg/ha)

Table 3. Effect of different nitrogen on yield and yield contributing characters of garlic varieties

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | | Individual bulb weight (g) | | Yield (t/ha) | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| T ₁ : Nitrogen @ 160 kg/ha | 77.78 d | 79.59 | 25.56 d | 27.28 | 9.82 d | 10.60 |
| T ₂ : Nitrogen @ 185 kg/ha | 80.00 c | 81.73 | 26.00 a | 27.28 | 10.44 c | 10.66 |
| T ₃ : Nitrogen @ 210 kg/ha | 82.33 b | 84.52 | 23.67 a | 28.08 | 10.82 b | 10.76 |
| T ₄ : Nitrogen @ 235 kg/ha | 84.89 a | 87.00 | 28.33 a | 29.92 | 11.70 a | 11.42 |
| CV (%) | 0.31 | 0.98 | 10.49 | 8.75 | 1.17 | 4.36 |

Note: Mean followed by the same letter did not differ significantly. CV= Coefficient of variation

3.3. Interaction effect of variety and nitrogen on yield and yield components of garlic

The impact of different types and nitrogen levels on the yield and yield-contributing characteristics of garlic varieties is shown in Table 4. The yield and components of garlic were considerably affected by varying nitrogen doses and different kinds. The treatment T₄V₃ (AS Mag-001 with nitrogen @ 235kg/ha) resulted in the highest plant height of 92 cm in 2021-22 and 94.08 cm in 2022-23, individual bulb weight of 30.33 g in 2021-22 and 31.58 g in 2022-23, and yield per hectare of 13.30 t/ha in 2021-22 and 11.76 t/ha in 2022-23. The treatment T₂V₁ (BARI Rashun-3 with nitrogen @ 185 kg/ha) exhibited the lowest plant height, with measurements of 69.67 cm in 2021-22 and 72.01 cm in 2022-23. Additionally, this treatment had the lowest individual bulb weight, with values of 23.67 g in 2021-22 and 25.34 g in 2022-23. Furthermore, the yield per hectare was also the lowest for this treatment, with 8.31 t/ha in 2021-22 and 9.68 t/ha in 2022-23. Nitrogen fertilisers stimulate vegetative growth, increase the number of cloves, elevate leaf count per plant, enhance plant height, and promote garlic bulb output (Sahu et al., 2024).

Table 4. Interaction performance of variety and nitrogen on yield and yield contributing characters of garlic varieties

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | | Individual bulb weight (g) | | Yield (t/ha) | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------------|----------|
| | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| T ₁ V ₁ | 80.33 g | 82.07 g | 25.67 ab | 27.80 de | 10.16 de | 10.35 d |
| T ₁ V ₂ | 69.67 k | 72.01 j | 23.67 ab | 25.34 g | 8.31g | 9.68 ef |
| T ₁ V ₃ | 83.33 e | 84.67 ef | 27.33 ab | 28.69 cde | 10.99 c | 11.76 c |
| T ₂ V ₁ | 82.00 f | 83.33 fg | 26.00 ab | 27.21 ef | 10.44 d | 10.31 de |
| T ₂ V ₂ | 72.33 j | 74.01 i | 24.00 ab | 25.84 fg | 9.06 f | 9.06 f |

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|----------|
| T ₂ V ₃ | 85.67 d | 87.84 d | 28.00 ab | 28.76 cde | 11.83 b | 12.61 b |
| T ₃ V ₁ | 83.33 e | 85.19 e | 27.00 ab | 29.15 bcd | 10.85 c | 11.48c |
| T ₃ V ₂ | 73.67 i | 76.02 h | 24.00 ab | 24.90 g | 9.42 f | 9.33 f |
| T ₃ V ₃ | 90.00 b | 92.34 b | 20.00 b | 30.20 abc | 12.19 b | 12.93 ab |
| T ₄ V ₁ | 87.67 c | 89.96 c | 28.67 a | 30.58 ab | 11.96 b | 10.01de |
| T ₄ V ₂ | 75.00 h | 76.94 h | 26.00 ab | 27.60 de | 9.84 e | 9.28 f |
| T ₄ V ₃ | 92.00 a | 94.08 a | 30.33 a | 31.58a | 13.30 a | 13.48a |
| CV (%) | 0.57 | 0.98 | 8.35 | 3.38 | 2.80 | 4.36 |

3.4. Incidence of premature sprouting

Table 5 displayed the impacts of several treatments on the premature sprouting of garlic. In the 2021-22 and 2022-23 seasons, the highest number of premature sprouted plants per plot (38.76 and 34.67) was observed in T₄V₃ (AS Mag-001 with nitrogen at a rate of 235 kg/ha). On the other hand, the lowest number of premature sprouted plants per plot (9.49 in 2021-22 and 1.02 in 2022-23) was observed in T₁V₂ (BARI Rashun-3 with nitrogen at a rate of 160 kg/ha). The highest percentage of premature sprouting (22.88% in 2021-22 and 18.23% in 2022-23) was observed in T₄V₃ (AS Mag-001 with nitrogen at a rate of 235 kg/ha). Conversely, the lowest percentage of premature sprouting, (4.55% in 2021-22 and 0.46% in 2022-23), was observed in T₁V₂ (BARI Rashun-3 with nitrogen at a rate of 160 kg/ha).

Table 5. Effect of different treatment on premature sprouting of garlic

| Treatment | Number of normal plants/plot (nos.) | | Number of premature sprouted plants/plot (nos.) | | Percent of premature sprouting (%) | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|---|----------|------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| T ₁ V ₁ | 198.00 d | 211.00 bc | 19.77 f | 14.00 cd | 10.00 e | 6.63 cd |
| T ₁ V ₂ | 210.00 a | 219.67 a | 9.54 j | 1.02 e | 4.55 h | 0.46 e |
| T ₁ V ₃ | 190.00 e | 209.33 c | 25.86 d | 15.67 c | 13.64 d | 7.49 c |
| T ₂ V ₁ | 197.67 d | 211 bc | 20.02 f | 14.00 cd | 10.15 e | 6.63 cd |
| T ₂ V ₂ | 207.33 b | 219.33 a | 11.92 i | 2.33 e | 5.76 g | 1.12 e |
| T ₂ V ₃ | 180.33 f | 203.33 d | 32.38 c | 21.67 b | 18.03 c | 10.68 b |
| T ₃ V ₁ | 192.33 e | 213.67 b | 24.13 e | 11.33 d | 12.58 d | 5.31 d |
| T ₃ V ₂ | 205.00 b | 219.33 a | 13.95 h | 2.00 e | 6.82 g | 0.91 e |
| T ₃ V ₃ | 176.33 g | 201.33 d | 34.92 b | 23.67 b | 19.85 b | 11.78 b |
| T ₄ V ₁ | 178.00fg | 211.33 bc | 33.89 b | 13.67 cd | 19.09 bc | 6.48 cd |
| T ₄ V ₂ | 202.00 c | 219.67 a | 16.50 g | 1.00 e | 8.18 f | 4.29 d |
| T ₄ V ₃ | 169.67 h | 190.33 e | 38.76 a | 34.67 a | 22.88 a | 18.23 a |
| CV (%) | 0.77 | 2.32 | 3.34 | 7.27 | 5.34 | 7.53 |

By increasing the nitrogen levels, the bulbs undergo accelerated sprouting, resulting in bulb splitting and rubbering. Elevated nitrogen levels, along with heightened splitting, resulted in a decline in the quality of garlic bulbs (Yousuf, 2022).

4. CONCLUSION

From the above discussion, it was concluded that maximum premature sprouting was observed from those plots where application of nitrogen was higher (nitrogen@ 235kg/ha) and it was highest in high yielding advance line AS Mag-001. Further physiological and biochemical elucidation is required for an in-depth study.

REFERENCES

- Bayan L, Koulivand PH, Gorji A. Garlic: a review of potential therapeutic effects. *Avicenna J Phytomed*, 2014; 4 (1): 1-14.
- BBS. 2022. Yearbook of Agricultural Statistics-2021. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. Statistics and Informatics Division (SID). Ministry of Planning. Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh. Dhaka. 33:138.
- Berger, K. C., & Truog, E. (1939). Boron determination in soils and plants. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Analytical Edition*, 11(10), 540-545.
- Bray, R. H., and L. T. Kurtz. 1945. Determination of total, organic, and available forms of phosphorus in soil. *Soil Sci.* 59: 39-45.
- Carter, M. R. 1993. *Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis*. Canadian Soil Science Society. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida. 823p.
- Chakraborty D, Majumder A (2020) Garlic (Lahsun)—an immunity booster against SARS-CoV-2. *Biotica Res Today* 2(8):755–757
- Chesnin, L. and C. H. Yien. 1950. Turbidimetric determination of available sulphates. *Proc. Soil Sci. Soc. Am.* 14: 149-51.
- Christian, G. D. and F. J. Feldman, 1970. *Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy. Application in Agriculture, Biology and Medicine*, Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- Corzo-Martinez M, Corzo N, Villamiel M (2007) Biological properties of onions and garlic. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 18(12):609–625. [https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. tifs. 2007. 011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2007.011)
- Davis SR (2005) An overview of the antifungal properties of allicin and its breakdown products—the possibility of a safe and effective antifungal prophylactic. *Mycoses* 48(2):95–100. [https:// doi. org/ 10. 1111/j. 1439- 0507.2004. 01076.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0507.2004.01076.x)

- Durak, I., Kavutch, M., Aytac, B., et al. 2004. Effects of garlic extract consumption on blood lipid and oxidant/antioxidant parameters in humans with high blood cholesterol. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* Jun;15(6):373-377.
- Huq, S. I., & Alam, M. D. (2005). *A Handbook on Analyses of Soil, Plant, and Water*. BACER-DU. University of Dhaka, Bangladesh, 22, 246.
- Kurian JC (1995). *Plant that Heal* (1st edn.), Oriental Watchman publishing House, Punj., India. P31.
- Mishra, D. S., Tripathi, A., & Nimbolkar, P. K. (2016). Review on physiological disorders of tropical and subtropical fruits: Causes and management approach. *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology*, 9(6), 925-935.
- Neerja, S., Ajay, K., Vijay, K., Manoj, K., Anamika, J., Satbir, S., Sonika, J., Shalini, K., & Kumar, A.R. (2016). Physiological disorders in solanaceous and bulb crops: A review. *Int. J. Agric. Sci*, 8(52), 2566-2568.
- Peter, G.B., Selvaraj, N., Vedamuthu and Pillayarsamy. (1995). Rubbering and premature sprouting of garlic. *Spice India*. 8 (7): 11-12.
- Piper, C. S. (1950). *Soil and Plant Analysis Inter. Publ. Inc.* New York, 368
- Pruthi, J.S. 2001. *Minor spices and Condiments: Crop management and post-harvest technology*. Indian Council of Agricultural Research. New Delhi.
- Rana SV, Pal R, Vaiphei K, Sharma SK, Ola RP (2011) Garlic in health and disease. *Nut Res Rev* 24(1):60–71. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954422410000338>
- Sahu, K. K., Sharma, J.C & Sanadya, S. K. (2024). Irrigation and nitrogen fertigation on quality and minerals parameters of garlic (*Allium sativum*) cultivar Solan Selection. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* 26(1): 89-96. <https://doi.org/10.47815/apsr.2024.10337>
- Sarker, R., Ratna, M., Chowdhury, N., Nath, N., & Faisal Fahim, A. H. (2017). Screening of Garlic Lines against Premature Sprouting. *Journal of Scientific Achievements*, 2(4), 1-4.
- Thomas, G.W. 1990. Exchangeable cations. In: *Methods of soil analysis, Part 2*. (eds.) L. Page, R. Miller and R. Keeney. pp. 159-166. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI.
- USDA (United States Department of Agriculture) (1951) *Soil Survey Manual. Handbook No. 18*, Soil Survey Staff, Bureau of Plant Industry, Soils and Agricultural Engineering, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington DC, 205.

Velisek J, Kubec R and Davidek J. (1997) Chemical composition and classification of culinary and pharmaceutical garlic-based products. *Z. Lebensm Unters Forsch* Vol 24, No 2: Pp: 161 - 4.

Yahia, E. M., Carrillo-López, A., &Sañudo, A. (2019). Physiological disorders and their control. In *Postharvest Technology of Perishable Horticultural Commodities* (pp. 499-527). Woodhead Publishing.

Yousuf, M. N. (2022). Rubbering and Pre-mature Sprouting of Garlic. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/365161035_Rubbering_and_Premature_Sprouting_of_Garlic.

UNDER PEER REVIEW