

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_119480
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring Farmers Attitude towards Climate Resilient Agricultural Technologies in Telangana State, India
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, manuscript is totally important for scientific community and there is need to aware the community.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No abstract need to modify. According to me abstract is summarizes in 300 words or less and there is no need to classify aim, methodology and result. One should direct write result.</p> <p>Not satisfied with abstract part but other structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes but arrange the references chronological.</p> <p>As mentioned above abstract should be modified and no need to classify the aim methodology and result just connect sentences and write the abstract within 300 words or less.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Minor mistakes i.e., grammar mistakes are there in manuscript otherwise everything is fine</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Preeti</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chander Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, India</b>